Turbulence Introduction to Plasma and Fusion Course

Jason Parisi Staff Research Physicist Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory jparisi@pppl.gov

National Spherical Torus eXperiment Upgrade

Reach out if you have questions!



My Worldline

 $[P_\mu,P_\nu]=0,$ $[D,K_{\mu}]=-K_{\mu},$ $[D,P_{\mu}]=P_{\mu},$ $[K_\mu,K_\nu]=0,$

















Undergrad

Grad School





Present

Lecture Topics

 Quick overview of fluid turbulence • Turbulence and transport in magnetic confinement fusion

Fluid Turbulence Overview



Transition to Turbulence THE TRANSITION TO TURBULENCE







Transition to Turbulence

- Turbulent flow transition at a critical flow velocity, u_{crit} , or length scale L_{crit}
- Described by conservation of momentum:

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \right)$$

derivative moving with fluid particle





laminar flow $\longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow$ turbulent flow viscosity $\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \rho \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}$ flow velocity pressure

Transition to Turbulence laminar flow $\longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow$ turbulent flow

- Turbulent flow transition at a critical flow velocity, u_{crit} , or length scale L_{crit} • Described by conservation of momentum:

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \right) + \nabla p = \rho \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}$$

• Balancing convective derivative with viscosity gives Reynolds number:



$$\operatorname{Re} \equiv \frac{uL}{v}$$

Transition to Turbulence

- Empirically, turbulence onset at $\text{Re} \sim 10^4$
- Critical length scale $L_{\rm crit} \sim 10^4 -$: make system sufficiently large, turbulence arises \mathcal{U}
- L_{crit} effect also occurs in fusion plasmas!

laminar flow $\longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow$ turbulent flow

Injection scale L



Injection scale L



Injection scale L



Dissipative scale too small to see

Injection scale L



log(Energy)





Injection scale L



log(Energy)





Injection scale L



log(Energy)



1/L



Injection scale L



log(Energy)



Injection scale L



log(Energy)



Injection scale L





- Turbulence cascades energy from injection scale to a dissipative scale
- A lot more to say about fluid turbulence...
- Some techniques and observations can be carried over to plasmas, but with significant differences in assumptions.

- 1970-80s: plasma temperatures lower than expected

• 1968: T-3 Russian tokamak, record temperature > 1 keV (11.6 million Kelvin).

- 1970-80s: plasma temperatures lower than expected, <u>why?</u>

• 1968: T-3 Russian tokamak, record temperature > 1 keV (11.6 million Kelvin).

- 1968: T-3 Russian tokamak, record temperature > 1 keV (11.6 million Kelvin).
- 1970-80s: plasma temperatures lower than expected, <u>why?</u>
 - Due to rapid heat diffusion across field lines!



- 1968: T-3 Russian tokamak, record temperature > 1 keV (11.6 million Kelvin).
- 1970-80s: plasma temperatures lower than expected, <u>why?</u>
 - Due to rapid heat diffusion across field lines!



Cutaway of tokamak plasma



- 1968: T-3 Russian tokamak, record temperature > 1 keV (11.6 million Kelvin).
- 1970-80s: plasma temperatures lower than expected, <u>why?</u>
 - Due to rapid heat diffusion across field lines!

edge



Cutaway of tokamak plasma



Due to <u>diffusion</u>, heat '<u>leaks</u>' from plasma core to edge

- 1968: T-3 Russian tokamak, record temperature > 1 keV (11.6 million Kelvin).
- 1970-80s: plasma temperatures lower than expected, <u>why?</u>
 - Due to rapid heat diffusion across field lines!
 - Let's consider three mechanisms for heat transport across field lines

- 1970-80s: plasma temperatures lower than expected

<u>Classical</u>



• 1968: T-3 Russian tokamak, record temperature > 1 keV (11.6 million Kelvin).

- 1968: T-3 Russian tokamak, record temperature > 1 keV (11.6 million Kelvin).
- 1970-80s: plasma temperatures lower than expected

Classical

Idea:

in magnetic field **B**, ions have gyroradius ρ_i , collide with frequency ν_i that knocks them from orbit

 \rightarrow random walk diffusion







- 1968: T-3 Russian tokamak, record temperature > 1 keV (11.6 million Kelvin).
- 1970-80s: plasma temperatures lower than expected





Idea:

in magnetic field **B**, ions have gyroradius ρ_i , collide with frequency ν_i that knocks them from orbit

 \rightarrow random walk diffusion











- 1970-80s: plasma temperatures lower than expected









• 1968: T-3 Russian tokamak, record temperature > 1 keV (11.6 million Kelvin).

Neoclassical

Idea:

Classical diffusion + toroidal geometry!

- 1968: T-3 Russian tokamak, record temperature > 1 keV (11.6 million Kelvin).
- 1970-80s: plasma temperatures lower than expected









banana orbits







Idea:

Classical diffusion + toroidal geometry!

Toroidal geometry factor $q \gg 1$

- 1970-80s: plasma temperatures lower than expected









banana orbits



• 1968: T-3 Russian tokamak, record temperature > 1 keV (11.6 million Kelvin).

Neoclassical



Anomalous/Turbulent

Credit: https://w3.pppl.gov/ ~hammett/collaborators/ mbeer/



Tokamaks: Stellarators:

 $D_{\rm turb} \gg D_{\rm nc}$ $D_{\rm turb} \gtrsim D_{\rm nc}$

• Density, momentum, and energy transport described by transport equations temperature $\frac{3}{2} \frac{dT}{dt} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = P$ Energy equation: heat flux [W/m²] energy sources and sinks [W/m³]

particle density [#/m³]



• Density, momentum, and energy transport described by transport equations

 $\frac{3}{2} \frac{dT}{dt} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = P$

Note: in this lecture, we consider heat transport perpendicular to magnetic field

Parallel heat transport typically 'classical,' important in tokamak edge, not covered here

 Density, momentum, and energy transport described by transport equations Steady state

 $\frac{3}{dt} \frac{dT}{dt} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = P$

Heat flux due \sim to plasma turbulence

Plasma heating, radiative losses

• Density, momentum, and energy transport described by transport equations • Steady state

 $\mathbf{q} = -nD\nabla T$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = P$$

Higher diffusion coefficient -> higher heat flux

—> larger heating sources to balance power loss at fixed gradient V'



Higher diffusion coefficient —> higher heat flux

--> larger sources to balance power loss at fixed gradient ∇T

Anomalous/Turbulent

Credit: https://w3.pppl.gov/ ~hammett/collaborators/ mbeer/



Tokamaks: Stellarators:

 $D_{\rm turb} \gg D_{\rm nc}$ $D_{\rm turb} \gtrsim D_{\rm nc}$



Turbulence and Transport in Magnetic Confinement Fusion Plasma Turbulence

- Turbulence is primary physics reason we do not yet have fusion power plant
- Plasma instabilities create turbulent eddies that rapidly move energy from the core to the edge
- Turbulence is primary physics reason we do not yet have fusion power plant
- Plasma instabilities create turbulent eddies that rapidly move energy from the core to the edge

plasma must be:

 In later lectures, you will learn that for self-sustaining plasma burn: triple product $nT\tau_E$ must exceed minimum value





- Turbulence is primary physics reason we do not yet have fusion power plant
- Plasma instabilities create turbulent eddies that rapidly move energy from the core to the edge

plasma must be:

 In later lectures, you will learn that for self-sustaining plasma burn: triple product $nT\tau_E$ must exceed minimum value



Plasma instability and turbulence mostly degrades T and τ_F



- Turbulence is primary physics reason we do not yet have fusion power plant
- Plasma instabilities create turbulent eddies that rapidly move energy from the core to the edge



Gyrokinetic simulation of tokamak turbulence credit: https://w3.pppl.gov/~hammett/viz/viz.html



- Turbulence is primary physics reason we do not yet have fusion power plant
- Plasma instabilities create turbulent eddies that rapidly move energy from the core to the edge
- Turbulence highly <u>anisotropic</u>: structures elongated along field lines, short across field lines



Gyrokinetic simulation of tokamak turbulence credit: https://w3.pppl.gov/~hammett/viz/viz.html



- Turbulence is primary physics reason we do not yet have fusion power plant
- Plasma instabilities create turbulent eddies that rapidly move energy from the core to the edge
- Turbulence highly anisotropic: structures elongated along field lines, short across field lines
- Let's estimate turbulent transport arising from these structures



Gyrokinetic simulation of tokamak turbulence credit: https://w3.pppl.gov/~hammett/viz/viz.html



• Initial turbulent electrostatic potential fluctuation ϕ^t in magnetic field **B** with pressure gradient ∇p .

 Initial turbulent electrostatic potential fluctuation ϕ^t in magnetic field **B** with pressure gradient ∇p .





• \rightarrow electric field $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi^t$





• \rightarrow **v**_{E×B} drift perpendicular to both *E* and *B*





• \rightarrow **v**_{E×B} drift perpendicular to both *E* and *B*

• $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{E}\times\mathrm{B}} = \frac{\mathbf{B}\times\nabla\phi^{t}}{B^{2}} \sim \frac{\phi^{t}}{Bl}$



 ∇p

• \rightarrow **v**_{E×B} drift perpendicular to both *E* and *B*

•
$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{E}\times\mathrm{B}} = \frac{\mathbf{B}\times\nabla\phi^{t}}{B^{2}} \sim \frac{\phi^{t}}{Bl}$$

 Perturbed potential energy comparable to plasma thermal energy*:

$$(L/l)e\phi^t \sim T = \frac{1}{2}mv_t^2$$



 ∇p

*often known as 'ambient pressure gradient argument'



• \rightarrow **v**_{E×B} drift perpendicular to both *E* and *B*

• $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{E}\times\mathrm{B}} = \frac{\mathbf{B}\times\nabla\phi^{t}}{B^{2}} \sim \frac{\phi^{t}}{Bl} \sim \frac{T}{eB} \frac{1}{L}$

 Perturbed potential energy comparable to plasma thermal energy:

$$(L/l)e\phi^t \sim T = \frac{1}{2}mv_t^2$$



 ∇p

• \rightarrow **v**_{E×B} drift perpendicular to both *E* and *B*

• $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{E}\times\mathrm{B}} = \frac{\mathbf{B}\times\nabla\phi^{t}}{B^{2}} \sim \frac{\phi^{t}}{Bl} \sim \frac{T}{eB}\frac{1}{L}$ • nonlinear time $\tau_{\mathrm{nl}} \equiv \frac{l}{v_{\mathrm{E}\times\mathrm{B}}} \sim \frac{l}{\rho}\frac{L}{v_{t}}$



 ∇p

•
$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{E}\times\mathrm{B}} = \frac{\mathbf{B}\times\nabla\phi^{t}}{B^{2}} \sim \frac{\phi^{t}}{Bl} \sim \frac{T}{eB}\frac{1}{L}$$

• nonlinear time $\tau_{\mathrm{nl}} \equiv \frac{l}{v_{\mathrm{E}\times\mathrm{B}}} \sim \frac{l}{\rho}\frac{L}{v_{t}}$

• diffusion coefficient

$$D_{\text{turb}} \sim \frac{l^2}{\tau_{\text{nl}}} \sim \frac{l}{L} \rho v_t \sim \frac{l}{\rho} D_{\text{gB}} \qquad D_{\text{gB}} \equiv \frac{\rho}{L}$$

gyroBohm diffusivity





•
$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{E}\times\mathrm{B}} = \frac{\mathbf{B}\times\nabla\phi^{t}}{B^{2}} \sim \frac{\phi^{t}}{Bl} \sim \frac{T}{eB}\frac{1}{L}$$

• nonlinear time $\tau_{\mathrm{nl}} \equiv \frac{l}{v_{\mathrm{E}\times\mathrm{B}}} \sim \frac{l}{\rho}\frac{L}{v_{t}}$

• diffusion coefficient

$$D_{\text{turb}} \sim \frac{l^2}{\tau_{\text{nl}}} \sim \frac{l}{L} \rho v_t \sim \frac{l}{\rho} D_{\text{gB}} \qquad D_{\text{gB}} \equiv \frac{\rho}{L}$$

• If $D_{turb} = D_{gB}$, eddies with gyroradius size ρ transport heat





• Energy confinement time $\tau_E \sim L^2/D \sim L^3 \frac{1}{l\rho v_t}$

Turbulence and Transport in Magnetic Confinement Fusion

 $\tau_E \equiv \frac{\text{plasma stored energy}}{\text{plasma heating power}}$

- Energy confinement time $\tau_E \sim L^2/D \sim L^3 \frac{1}{l\rho v_t}$
- Assuming $l \sim \rho$, and defining $\rho_* \equiv \rho/L$, find

$$\tau_E \sim \frac{L}{v_t} \frac{1}{\rho_*^2}$$

Turbulence and Transport in Magnetic Confinement Fusion

 $\tau_E \equiv \frac{\text{plasma stored energy}}{\text{plasma heating power}}$

- Energy confinement time $\tau_E \sim L^2/D \sim L^3 \frac{1}{l\rho v_t}$
- Assuming $l \sim \rho$, and defining $\rho_* \equiv \rho/L$, find

$$\tau_E \sim \frac{L}{v_t} \frac{1}{\rho_*^2}$$

For better confinement, <u>make</u> <u>device bigger</u> by increasing Land decreasing $\rho_* \ll 1$

- Energy confinement time $\tau_E \sim L^2/D \sim L^3 \frac{1}{l\rho v_t}$
- Assuming $l \sim \rho$, and defining $\rho_* \equiv \rho/L$, find

$$\tau_E \sim \frac{L}{v_t} \frac{1}{\rho_*^2}$$

For better confinement, <u>make</u> <u>device bigger</u> by increasing Land decreasing $\rho_* \ll 1$

Turbulence and Transport in Magnetic Confinement Fusion



Turbulent eddies

- Energy confinement time $\tau_E \sim L^2/D \sim L^3 \frac{1}{l\rho v_t}$
- Assuming $l \sim \rho$, and defining $\rho_* \equiv \rho/L$, find

$$\tau_E \sim \frac{L}{v_t} \frac{1}{\rho_*^2}$$

For better confinement, <u>make</u> <u>device bigger</u> by increasing Land decreasing $\rho_* \ll 1$



- Energy confinement time $\tau_E \sim L^2/D \sim L^3 \frac{1}{l\rho v_t}$
- Assuming $l \sim \rho$, and defining $\rho_* \equiv \rho/L$, find

$$\tau_E \sim \frac{L}{v_t} \frac{1}{\rho_*^2}$$

For better confinement, <u>make</u> <u>device bigger</u> by increasing Land decreasing $\rho_* \ll 1$



GyroBohm Transport

- Energy confinement time $\tau_E \sim L^2 / D \sim L^3 \frac{1}{l \rho v_t}$
- Assuming $l \sim \rho$, and defining $\rho_* \equiv \rho/L$, find

$$\tau_E \sim \frac{L}{v_t} \frac{1}{\rho_*^2}$$

For better confinement, <u>make</u> device bigger by increasing L and decreasing $\rho_* \ll 1$

• Energy confinement time $\tau_E \sim L^2/D \sim L^3 \frac{1}{l\rho v_t}$ • Assuming $l \sim \rho$, and defining $\rho_* \equiv \rho/L$, find L = 1

$$\tau_E \sim \frac{L}{v_t} \frac{1}{\rho_*^2}$$

For better confinement, <u>make</u> device bigger by increasing L and decreasing $\rho_* \ll 1$

Turbulence and Transport in Magnetic Confinement Fusion

Fusion history snippet*

Considerations of ion-temperature-gradient-driven turbulence

S. C. Cowley and R. M. Kulsrud Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey 08543

R. Sudan Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

(Received 8 November 1990; accepted 2 June 1991)

The ion-temperature-gradient-driven instability is considered in this paper. Physical pictures are presented to clarify the nature of the instability. The saturation of a single eddy is modeled by a simple nonlinear equation. It is shown that eddies that are elongated in the direction of the temperature gradient are the most unstable and have the highest saturation amplitudes. In a sheared magnetic field, such elongated eddies twist with the field lines. This structure is shown to be an alternative to the usual Fourier mode picture in which the mode is localized around the surface where $k_{\parallel} = 0$. These elongated twisting eddies, which are an integral part of the "ballooning mode" structure, could survive in a torus. The elongated eddies are shown to be unstable to secondary instabilities that are driven by the large gradients in the long eddy. It is argued that the "mixing length" is affected by this nonlinear process, and is unlikely to be a linear eigenmode width.

Paper predicted ion turbulence might cause disastrously high transport due to super large eddies $l \gg \rho$

- Energy confinement time $\tau_E \sim L^2/D \sim L^3 \frac{1}{l\rho v_t}$
- Assuming $l \sim \rho$, and defining $\rho_* \equiv \rho/L$, find

$$\tau_E \sim \frac{L}{v_t} \frac{1}{\rho_*^2}$$

For better confinement, <u>make</u> device bigger by increasing L and decreasing $\rho_* \ll 1$

Fusion history snippet*

Considerations of ion-temperature-gradient-driven turbulence

S. C. Cowley and R. M. Kulsrud Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey 08543

R. Sudan Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

(Received 8 November 1990; accepted 2 June 1991)

The ion-temperature-gradient-driven instability is considered in this paper. Physical pictures are presented to clarify the nature of the instability. The saturation of a single eddy is modeled by a simple nonlinear equation. It is shown that eddies that are elongated in the direction of the temperature gradient are the most unstable and have the highest saturation amplitudes. In a sheared magnetic field, such elongated eddies twist with the field lines. This structure is shown to be an alternative to the usual Fourier mode picture in which the mode is localized around the surface where $k_{\parallel} = 0$. These elongated twisting eddies, which are an integral part of the "ballooning mode" structure, could survive in a torus. The elongated eddies are shown to be unstable to secondary instabilities that are driven by the large gradients in the long eddy. It is argued that the "mixing length" is affected by this nonlinear process, and is unlikely to be a linear eigenmode width.

Paper predicted ion turbulence might cause disastrously high transport due to super large eddies $l \gg \rho$

Fortunately, this does not happen for ion turbulence, but often happens for electron turbulence

- Energy confinement time $\tau_E \sim L^2 / D \sim L^3 \frac{1}{l \rho v_t}$
- Assuming $l \sim \rho$, and defining $\rho_* \equiv \rho/L$, find

$$\tau_E \sim \frac{L}{v_t} \frac{1}{\rho_*^2}$$

For better confinement, make <u>device bigger</u> by increasing L and decreasing $\rho_* \ll 1$

Fusion history snippet*

VOLUME 85, NUMBER 26

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

25 DECEMBER 2000

Electron Temperature Gradient Turbulence

W. Dorland, F. Jenko,* M. Kotschenreuther,[†] and B. N. Rogers Institute for Plasma Research, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, 20742 (Received 29 September 2000)

The first toroidal, gyrokinetic, electromagnetic simulations of small scale plasma turbulence are presented. The turbulence considered is driven by gradients in the electron temperature. It is found that electron temperature gradient (ETG) turbulence can induce experimentally relevant thermal losses in magnetic confinement fusion devices. For typical tokamak parameters, the transport is essentially electrostatic in character. The simulation results are qualitatively consistent with a model that balances linear and secondary mode growth rates. Significant streamer-dominated transport at long wavelengths occurs because the secondary modes that produce saturation become weak in the ETG limit.

Fortunately, this does not happen for ion turbulence, but often happens for electron turbulence

Sensitivity of power plant cost on confinement

• Capital cost most sensitive to confinement quality H, which is proportional to τ_F

Need all-out effort to boost confinement

Turbulence and Transport in Magnetic Confinement Fusion

Wade, M. R., and J. A. Leuer. "Cost drivers for a tokamak-based compact pilot plant." Fusion Science and Technology 77.2 (2021): 119-143.

Turbulence and Transport in Magnetic Confinement Fusion

Why did we get plasma turbulence in the first place?

Turbulence and Transport in Magnetic Confinement Fusion

Why did we get plasma turbulence in the first place?

Plasma instabilities driven by pressure gradients grow and give rise to turbulence!

Turbulence and Transport in Magnetic Confinement Fusion Plasma instabilities → turbulent eddies

Plasma instabilities \rightarrow turbulent eddies

• Quintessential tokamak instability is electron-temperature-gradient (ETG) instability

Turbulence and Transport in Magnetic Confinement Fusion Plasma instabilities → turbulent eddies

- Quintessential tokamak instability is electron-temperature-gradient (ETG) instability
- Instability strongest at tokamak low field side, explaining why we usually see stronger turbulence there

high field side Credit:

 Toroidal instability strongest at tokamak low field side.

> High field side

 Toroidal instability strongest at tokamak low field side.

> High field side

• Toroidal instability strongest at tokamak low field side.

Electrons undergo 'magnetic' drift due to magnetic field gradient ∇B

Electron gyrofrequency $\Omega_{\rho} = -eB/m_{\rho}$

• Toroidal instability strongest at tokamak low field side.

Electrons undergo 'magnetic' drift due to magnetic field gradient ∇B



Electron gyrofrequency $\Omega_{\rho} = -eB/m_{\rho}$



$\delta T_{\rho} \rightarrow$ perturbed electron magnetic drifts $\delta \mathbf{v}_{Me}$



• Toroidal instability strongest at tokamak low field side.

Electrons undergo 'magnetic' drift due to magnetic field gradient ∇B



Electron gyrofrequency $\Omega_{\rho} = -eB/m_{\rho}$



$\delta T_{\rho} \rightarrow$ perturbed electron magnetic drifts $\delta \mathbf{v}_{Me}$









• Toroidal instability strongest at tokamak low field side.

Electrons undergo 'magnetic' drift due to magnetic field gradient ∇B



Electron gyrofrequency $\Omega_{\rho} = -eB/m_{\rho}$



- → compression and rarefaction
- \rightarrow charge accumulation









• Toroidal instability strongest at tokamak low field side.

Electrons undergo 'magnetic' drift due to magnetic field gradient ∇B



Electron gyrofrequency $\Omega_{\rho} = -eB/m_{\rho}$



\rightarrow induce perturbed electric field δE









• Toroidal instability strongest at tokamak low field side.

Electrons undergo 'magnetic' drift due to magnetic field gradient ∇B



Electron gyrofrequency $\Omega_{\rho} = -eB/m_{\rho}$



 \rightarrow cause $\delta \mathbf{v}_E$ (ExB drift). $\delta \mathbf{v}_E$ sucks hot plasma into $\delta T_e > 0$, cold plasma into $\delta T_{\rho} < 0$,









• Toroidal instability strongest at tokamak low field side.

Electrons undergo 'magnetic' drift due to magnetic field gradient ∇B



Electron gyrofrequency $\Omega_{\rho} = -eB/m_{\rho}$

 $\delta \mathbf{v}_E$ reinforces temperature perturbation, which causes a feedback loop —>instability!





Plasma instabilities such as electron-temperaturegradient modes give rise to turbulence



Credit: https://w3.pppl.gov/~hammett/ collaborators/mbeer/

Plasma instabilities such as electron-temperaturegradient modes give rise to turbulence





Credit: https://w3.pppl.gov/~hammett/ collaborators/mbeer/



Turbulent eddies cause high heat transport, resulting in shorter plasma confinement times and lower core temperature

Plasma instabilities such as electron-temperaturegradient modes give rise to turbulence

Turbulent eddies cause high heat transport, resulting in shorter plasma confinement times and lower core temperature





Credit: https://w3.pppl.gov/~hammett/ collaborators/mbeer/



Fusion experiments need to be larger, or reduce $\rho_* = \rho/L$

Bigger L







Plasma instabilities such as electron-temperaturegradient modes give rise to turbulence

Turbulent eddies cause high heat transport, resulting in shorter plasma confinement times and lower core temperature







Credit: https://w3.pppl.gov/~hammett/ collaborators/mbeer/

Fusion experiments need to be larger, or reduce $\rho_* = \rho/L \ddagger$









Tricks for reducing turbulence!

- Rotational shear: spatial shear in plasma rotation can shear apart eddies, reducing transport
- Magnetic geometry: plasma shaping has significant impact on transport coefficients
- improve transport
- confinement mode (H-mode)

Turbulence and Transport in Magnetic Confinement Fusion

• Turbulence-generated flows: turbulent flows self-generated by plasma can often

• H-mode: plasma phase transition from a low confinement mode (L-mode) to high

Other important topics in turbulence and transport

- Astrophysical turbulence
- Fusion turbulence diagnostics and measurements
- Electromagnetic effects

Turbulence and Transport in Magnetic Confinement Fusion

• Turbulent particle transport, coupling with neoclassical effects, fast particle modes

Summary

- small dissipative scale
- Turbulent heat transport in fusion experiments driven by plasma instabilities
- Many observations still baffling!

Turbulence theory describes how energy cascades from large injection scale to