

Introduction to Fusion Prof. Bhuvana Srinivasan

2024 SULI, 10 June 2024

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON



Middle/high school fascination with things that move fast



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON





Middle/high school fascination with things that move fast



ILLINOIS TECH

Armour College of Engineering

Moved to the US to study aerospace and mechanical engineering. Chicago seemed like a fun city from TV ©





Middle/high school fascination with things that move fast

ILLINOIS TECH

Armour College of Engineering

Moved to the US to study aerospace and mechanical engineering. Chicago seemed like a fun city from TV ©

Credit: Getty images

After some industry experience, wanted to go to grad school to research something exciting – going to the Moon is cool, but going to the next star is even cooler! Fusion propulsion? Bonus: fusion to solve terrestrial energy problems!



Plasma physics and mountains?! UW (Seattle) it is for grad school!





Middle/high school fascination with things that move fast



ILLINOIS TECH

Armour College of Engineering

Moved to the US to study aerospace and mechanical engineering. Chicago seemed like a fun city from TV ©



After some industry experience, wanted to go to grad school to research something exciting – going to the Moon is cool, but going to the next star is even cooler! Fusion propulsion? Bonus: fusion to solve terrestrial energy problems!



Plasma physics and mountains?! UW (Seattle) it is for grad school!



Professor? No way! To

More plasma, more mountains!



Bhuvana Srinivasan

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON



After some industry experience, wanted to go to grad school to research something exciting – going to the Moon is cool, but going to the next star is even cooler! Fusion propulsion? Bonus: fusion to solve terrestrial energy problems!











Bhuvana Srinivasan

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Middle/high school

fascination with things

that move fast



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Outline

• The case for fusion

- What is fusion?
- Metrics to evaluate fusion energy
- Brief history of fusion and some pioneers
- Fusion concepts: steady-state vs pulsed
- Progress in fusion

The world's population is projected to grow and with it come rising energy demands



- "Global electric vehicles sales, solar and battery installations hit record highs in 2022. However, renewables are only partly meeting growing energy demand rather than replacing fossil fuels in the energy mix. Fossil fuels are still growing in absolute terms.
- Energy related CO2 emissions are still hitting record highs and are only likely to peak in 2024, which is effectively the point at which the global energy transition begins."

"Limiting global warming to 1.5°C warming is less likely than ever."

Ref: DNV's Energy Transition Outlook

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Al is about to make the problem worse

Data center power demand



 "On average, a ChatGPT query needs nearly 10 times as much electricity to process as a Google search."

- "Goldman Sachs Research estimates that data center power demand will grow 160% by 2030."
 - "Along the way, the carbon dioxide emissions of data centers may more than double between 2022 and 2030."

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Existing energy sources have serious disadvantages

Energy sources	Advantages	Disadvantages
Coal	 1.06 trillion tonnes of coal reserves 	 Burns dirty, health hazards 1 lb of coal emits 2+ lbs of CO₂ ~ 132 year supply
Oil	• 1.6 trillion barrels	 Large source of methane → 25x global warming potential of CO₂ VOC emissions, air pollution, soil pollution ~ 47 year supply
Natural Gas	 1.06 trillion barrels (of oil equivalent) 	 Similar to oil ~ 52 year supply
Hydroelectric	• Clean	Geographically limitedDam construction impact to habitats
Wind	• Clean	Geographically limitedHuge number of windmills required for large scale
Solar	• Clean	Geographically limitedHuge number of solar cells required for large scale
Fission	• Clean	Waste disposal challengingSafety concerns

The case for fusion is stronger than ever Complements renewables with Limitless fuel large-scale energy ~ 5x10¹³ tons production in earth's oceans Credit: Getty images Credit: ESA **Compatible** Equitable **Fusion** with existing access to power grid Credit: Getty images fusion fuel

Clean, no CO₂ or other emissions

Safe, no possibility of meltdownlike scenarios Does not work yet!

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Low

Carbon

Credit: AMWatch

Outline

- The case for fusion
- What is fusion?
- Metrics to evaluate fusion energy
- Brief history of fusion and some pioneers
- Fusion concepts: steady-state vs pulsed
- Progress in fusion

OK, so why don't we have fusion yet? Because fusion is hard! Let's start with what is fusion



Credit: neatoshop.com

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Fusion combines 2 nuclei, typically isotopes of hydrogen, to produce energy



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

What are some viable fusion reactions?

 $\begin{array}{rcl} {\rm D} + {\rm T} \rightarrow \ ^{4}{\rm He} \; (3.52 \; {\rm MeV}) + {\rm n} \; (14.06 \; {\rm MeV}) \\ {\rm D} + {\rm D} \rightarrow \; {\rm T} \; (1.01 \; {\rm MeV}) + {\rm p} \; (3.02 \; {\rm MeV}) \\ & \rightarrow \ ^{3}{\rm He} \; (0.82 \; {\rm MeV}) + {\rm n} \; (2.45 \; {\rm MeV}) \\ {\rm D} + \ ^{3}{\rm He} \rightarrow \ ^{4}{\rm He} \; (3.6 \; {\rm MeV}) + p \; (14.7 \; {\rm MeV}) \\ {\rm p} + \ ^{11}{\rm B} \rightarrow 3 \ ^{3}{\rm He} + 8.7 \; {\rm MeV} \end{array}$

These are the only fusion fuels that are theoretically feasible for energy and propulsion!

Remember that 1 eV = 1.6×10^{-19} J

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

What are some viable fusion reactions?

 $\begin{array}{rcl} {\rm D} + {\rm T} \rightarrow \ ^{4}{\rm He} \; (3.52 \; {\rm MeV}) + {\rm n} \; (14.06 \; {\rm MeV}) \\ {\rm D} + {\rm D} \rightarrow \; {\rm T} \; (1.01 \; {\rm MeV}) + {\rm p} \; (3.02 \; {\rm MeV}) \\ & \rightarrow \ ^{3}{\rm He} \; (0.82 \; {\rm MeV}) + {\rm n} \; (2.45 \; {\rm MeV}) \\ {\rm D} + \ ^{3}{\rm He} \rightarrow \ ^{4}{\rm He} \; (3.6 \; {\rm MeV}) + p \; (14.7 \; {\rm MeV}) \\ {\rm p} + \ ^{11}{\rm B} \rightarrow 3 \ ^{3}{\rm He} + 8.7 \; {\rm MeV} \end{array}$

These are the only fusion fuels that are theoretically feasible for energy and propulsion!

Note these reactions are aneutronic and highly desirable, but more challenging, we'll see why.

Remember that $1 \text{ eV} = 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$

Where can we find deuterium and tritium?

There is plenty of deuterium in the earth's oceans



Credit: GA and San Diego Schools

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Where can we find deuterium and tritium?

There is plenty of deuterium in the earth's oceans



Tritium would need to be "bred" in the fusion reactor \rightarrow lithium blankets $n + {}^{6}Li \rightarrow {}^{4}He + T$

Earth has abundant lithium on land and in the ocean to last perpetually as far as human timescales are concerned

Credit: GA and San Diego Schools



Where can we find deuterium and tritium?

There is plenty of deuterium in the earth's oceans



Tritium would need to be "bred" in the fusion reactor \rightarrow lithium blankets

 $n + {}^{6}Li \rightarrow {}^{4}He + T$

Earth has abundant lithium on land and in the ocean to last perpetually as far as human timescales are concerned

³He does not exist naturally on earth, but does on the moon \rightarrow mine the moon?

Credit: GA and San Diego Schools

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

How does fusion fuel compare to other energy fuels?



Credit: GA and San Diego Schools

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

All matter in our universe came from fusion reactions so why is the list of viable reactions for energy so short?



- Most matter was born from gravitational confinement of plasma in stars
- Fusion reactions occurring over billions of years fused hydrogen before fusing heavier elements
- Plasma pressure balanced with gravity
- A bit challenging for us earthlings to apply gravitational confinement!

All matter in our universe came from fusion reactions so why is the list of viable reactions for energy so short?

We will learn about 3 concepts here to understand if a fusion reaction produces enough energy and has high probability:

- 1. Coulomb forces versus nuclear forces
- 2. Gamow peak
- 3. Cross-sections for the different reactions

All matter in our universe came from fusion reactions so why is the list of viable reactions for energy so short?

We will learn about 3 concepts here to understand if a fusion reaction produces enough energy and has high probability:

- **1. Coulomb forces versus nuclear forces**
- 2. Gamow peak
- 3. Cross-sections for the different reactions



+ Binding energy =



Nucleus

Nucleons

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Bhuvana <u>Srinivasan</u>



+ Binding energy =



Nucleons

Nucleus

Protons and neutrons, i.e. nucleons, held together in nucleus by strong nuclear force The mass of individual nucleons > mass of the nucleus VV

Bhuvana Srinivasan

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON



+ Binding energy =



Nucleus

Nucleons

Protons and neutrons, i.e. nucleons, held together in nucleus by strong nuclear force The mass of individual nucleons > mass of the nucleus

 $E = mc^2$ $\Delta E = \Delta mc^2$

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON



+ Binding energy =



Nucleons

Attractive strong nuclear force holds protons and neutrons together in a nucleus. Binding energy needed to pull them apart.

Nucleus

Protons and neutrons, i.e. nucleons, held together in nucleus by strong nuclear force The mass of individual nucleons > mass of the nucleus

 $E = mc^2$ $\Delta E = \Delta mc^2$

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON



+ Binding energy =



Nucleons

Attractive strong nuclear force holds protons and neutrons together in a nucleus. Binding energy needed to pull them apart.

Nucleus

Protons and neutrons, i.e. nucleons, held together in nucleus by strong nuclear force The mass of individual nucleons > mass of the nucleus

The binding energy of the nucleus is directly related to the amount of energy released in a fusion reaction or in a fission reaction

 $E = mc^2$ $\Delta E = \Delta mc^2$

The nuclear binding energy released per nucleon in fission versus fusion access different sides of the periodic table



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

The nuclear binding energy released per nucleon in fission versus fusion access different sides of the periodic table



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

The nuclear binding energy released per nucleon in fission versus fusion access different sides of the periodic table



Remember that like charges repel – Coulomb forces provide a challenge to overcome



- Note that an atom ~ 1 Angstrom ~ 10⁻¹⁰ m
- Attractive nuclear forces ~ 10⁻¹⁵ m
- For larger distances, need to overcome long-range repulsive Coulomb forces before attractive strong nuclear forces dominate
- Requires input energy to ions to overcome the Coulomb barrier

Remember that like charges repel – Coulomb forces provide a challenge to overcome



- Note that an atom ~ 1 Angstrom ~ 10⁻¹⁰ m
- Attractive nuclear forces ~ 10⁻¹⁵ m
- For larger distances, need to overcome long-range repulsive Coulomb forces before attractive strong nuclear forces dominate
- Requires input energy to ions to overcome the Coulomb barrier
- This input energy must be practically achievable → rules out most fusion reactions in the periodic table

All matter in our universe came from fusion reactions so why is the list of viable reactions for energy so short?

We will learn about 3 concepts here to understand if a fusion reaction produces enough energy and has high probability:

- 1. Coulomb forces versus nuclear forces
- 2. Gamow peak
- 3. Cross-sections for the different reactions

Quantum mechanics shows that there is a finite probability for an ion to penetrate the Coulomb barrier



Credit: José, Stellar Explosions (2016)

- Quantum tunneling through which the ions penetrate the Coulomb barrier [Gamow (1928)]
- Penetration probability comes from the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, i.e. the wave equation
- This probability is given by an exponential, known as the Gamow factor

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON
Quantum mechanics shows that there is a finite probability for an ion to penetrate the Coulomb barrier



- Most plasma is assumed to be distributed as a Gaussian with respect to energies, specifically a Maxwellian distribution
- This Maxwellian distribution is also given by an exponential function

Quantum mechanics shows that there is a finite probability for an ion to penetrate the Coulomb barrier



Credit: José, Stellar Explosions (2016)

- The product of the two exponentials: the Maxwellian distribution and the tunneling probability → provides the Gamow peak
- Specifies the energy range at which a specific nuclear reaction occurs for a given temperature
- This leads us to the third topic: Reaction rates

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

All matter in our universe came from fusion reactions so why is the list of viable reactions for energy so short?

We will learn about 3 concepts here to understand if a fusion reaction produces enough energy and has high probability:

- 1. Coulomb forces versus nuclear forces
- 2. Gamow peak
- **3. Cross-sections for the different reactions**

A collision cross-section, *σ*, is the effective area "seen" by colliding ions

Credit: Wurzel and Hsu, Phys. Plasmas (2022)

- A collision cross-section, σ , is the effective area "seen" by colliding ions
- Each Coulomb collision has a relative velocity, **v**, between the colliding ions

- A collision cross-section, σ , is the effective area "seen" by colliding ions
- Each Coulomb collision has a relative velocity, **v**, between the colliding ions
- Want to maximize the fusion reaction rate, given by < σ v > which is a function of temperature , comes from the Gamow peak we just discussed

- A collision cross-section, σ , is the effective area "seen" by colliding ions
- Each Coulomb collision has a relative velocity, **v**, between the colliding ions
- Want to maximize the fusion reaction rate, given by < σ v > which is a function of temperature , comes from the Gamow peak we just discussed
- Too low of a cross-section also eliminates many fusion reactions for energy



Credit: Wurzel and Hsu, Phys. Plasmas (2022)

- A collision cross-section, σ , is the effective area "seen" by colliding ions
- Each Coulomb collision has a relative velocity, **v**, between the colliding ions
- Want to maximize the fusion reaction rate, given by < σ v > which is a function of temperature , comes from the Gamow peak we just discussed
- Too low of a cross-section also eliminates many fusion reactions for energy
- Note that the D-T reaction has the highest reaction rate and at the lowest temperature ~ 175 million degrees!



Credit: Wurzel and Hsu, Phys. Plasmas (2022)

- A collision cross-section, σ , is the effective area "seen" by colliding ions
- Each Coulomb collision has a relative velocity, **v**, between the colliding ions
- Want to maximize the fusion reaction rate, given by < σ v > which is a function of temperature , comes from the Gamow peak we just discussed
- Too low of a cross-section also eliminates many fusion reactions for energy
- Note that the D-T reaction has the highest reaction rate and at the lowest temperature ~ 175 million degrees!
- The energy at the core of the sun ~ 15 million degrees



Credit: Wurzel and Hsu, Phys. Plasmas (2022)



Credit: Wurzel and Hsu, Phys. Plasmas (2022)

Outline

- The case for fusion
- What is fusion?
- Metrics to evaluate fusion energy
- Brief history of fusion and some pioneers
- Fusion concepts: steady-state vs pulsed
- Progress in fusion

- 1. Breakeven
- 2. Ignition
- 3. The Lawson criteria
- 4. The fusion triple product
- 5. Fusion gain, Q

We will discuss some key metrics by which fusion energy is evaluated for feasibility:

- **1. Breakeven:** Fusion energy produced = energy into the plasma + losses
- 2. Ignition
- 3. The Lawson criteria
- 4. The fusion triple product
- 5. Fusion gain, Q

The energy from fusion needs to balance energy in and radiation losses (and any other losses that may exist).

- **1. Breakeven:** Fusion energy produced = energy into the plasma + losses
- **2.** Ignition: α -particle (⁴He) heating maintains plasma temperature without further energy in
- 3. The Lawson criteria
- 4. The fusion triple product
- 5. Fusion gain, Q

We will discuss some key metrics by which fusion energy is evaluated for feasibility:

- **1. Breakeven:** Fusion energy produced = energy into the plasma + losses
- **2.** Ignition: α -particle (⁴He) heating maintains plasma temperature without further energy in
- 3. The Lawson criteria
- 4. The fusion triple product
- 5. Fusion gain, Q

 $\begin{array}{rcl} {\rm D}+{\rm T} \rightarrow \ ^{4}{\rm He} \; (3.52 \; {\rm MeV}) + {\rm n} \; (14.06 \; {\rm MeV}) \\ {\rm D}+{\rm D} \rightarrow \; {\rm T} \; (1.01 \; {\rm MeV}) + {\rm p} \; (3.02 \; {\rm MeV}) \\ & \rightarrow \ ^{3}{\rm He} \; (0.82 \; {\rm MeV}) + {\rm n} \; (2.45 \; {\rm MeV}) \\ {\rm D}+ \ ^{3}{\rm He} \rightarrow \ ^{4}{\rm He} \; (3.6 \; {\rm MeV}) + p \; (14.7 \; {\rm MeV}) \\ {\rm p}+ \ ^{11}{\rm B} \rightarrow 3 \ ^{3}{\rm He} + 8.7 \; {\rm MeV} \end{array}$

Remember the reactions we talked about. There is energy associated with the ⁴He (α -particle) product \rightarrow **alpha particle heating** is very important for the success of fusion.

- **1. Breakeven:** Fusion energy produced = energy into the plasma + losses
- **2.** Ignition: *α*-particle (⁴He) heating maintains plasma temperature without further energy in
- 3. The Lawson criteria: evaluates conditions for breakeven
- 4. The fusion triple product
- 5. Fusion gain, Q

The Lawson criteria evaluates conditions for breakeven

• Lawson criteria is the product

 $n \ au_E$ Density x Energy Confinement Time

• For D-T reactions:

 $n \ \tau_E \ge 1.49 \times 10^{20} \mathrm{s/m^3}$

- There are two distinct operating conditions for fusion:
 - > Steady-state: confining plasma at a certain density for sufficiently long times
 - > Pulsed: achieving very high density at sufficiently short confinement times



- **1. Breakeven:** Fusion energy produced = energy into the plasma + losses
- **2.** Ignition: α -particle (⁴He) heating maintains plasma temperature without further energy in
- 3. The Lawson criteria: evaluates conditions for breakeven
- 4. The fusion triple product: evaluates conditions for breakeven considering temperature
- 5. Fusion gain, Q

We will discuss some key metrics by which fusion energy is evaluated for feasibility:

- **1. Breakeven:** Fusion energy produced = energy into the plasma + losses
- **2.** Ignition: *α*-particle (⁴He) heating maintains plasma temperature without further energy in
- 3. The Lawson criteria: evaluates conditions for breakeven
- 4. The fusion triple product: evaluates conditions for breakeven considering temperature

5. Fusion gain, Q

For D-T fusion:

$$n T \tau_E \ge 2.76 \times 10^{21} \text{ keV s/m}^3$$

For magnetic confinement concepts, where plasma pressure is considered for stability, density and temperature can vary widely. So the triple product includes temperature.

- **1. Breakeven:** Fusion energy produced = energy into the plasma + losses
- **2.** Ignition: α -particle (⁴He) heating maintains plasma temperature without further energy in
- **3.** The Lawson criteria: evaluates conditions for breakeven
- 4. The fusion triple product: evaluates conditions for breakeven considering temperature
- **5.** Fusion gain, Q: Is the measure of a self-sustaining fusion reaction, like an efficiency

- **1. Breakeven:** Fusion energy produced = energy into the plasma + losses
- **2.** Ignition: *α*-particle (⁴He) heating maintains plasma temperature without further energy in
- 3. The Lawson criteria: evaluates conditions for breakeven
- 4. The fusion triple product: evaluates conditions for breakeven considering temperature
- 5. Fusion gain, Q: Is the measure of a self-sustaining fusion reaction, like an efficiency

Energy output from fusion Energy input

- **1. Breakeven:** Fusion energy produced = energy into the plasma + losses
- **2.** Ignition: *α*-particle (⁴He) heating maintains plasma temperature without further energy in
- 3. The Lawson criteria: evaluates conditions for breakeven
- 4. The fusion triple product: evaluates conditions for breakeven considering temperature
- **5.** Fusion gain, Q: Is the measure of a self-sustaining fusion reaction, like an efficiency

Energy output from fusion Energy input

- Q = 1 is scientific breakeven
- $Q \approx 5$ for burning plasma where α -heating provides self-heating
- Q = ∞ ignition → self-heating removes need for external heating
- Engineering breakeven: wall-plug efficiency
- Commercial breakeven: cost efficiency (necessary to compete with coal)

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON



- Until recently, JET (the Joint European Torus) held the record since 1997 for Q=0.67
- 22 MJ of heat released in 1997
- 59 MJ of heat released in 2022
- 69 MJ of heat released in 2024

An average US home uses 100 MJ per day

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON





- 22 MJ of heat released in 1997 •
- 59 MJ of heat released in 2022 •
- 69 MJ of heat released in 2024 •

An average US home uses 100 MJ per day



- (NIF) holds the record for highest Q = 2.36
- 1.35 MJ of energy in 2021
- 3.15 MJ of energy in 2022
- 5.2 MJ of energy in 2024

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON



- 22 MJ of heat released in 1997
- 59 MJ of heat released in 2022
- 69 MJ of heat released in 2024 ,

These are exciting times to work in fusion!

An average US home uses 100 MJ per day

- The National Ignition Facility (NIF) holds the record for highest Q = 2.36
- 1.35 MJ of energy in 2021
- 3.15 MJ of energy in 2022
- 5.2 MJ of energy in 2024

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Outline

- The case for fusion
- What is fusion?
- Metrics to evaluate fusion energy
- Brief history of fusion and some pioneers
- Fusion concepts: steady-state vs pulsed
- Progress in fusion



• Arthur Eddington was the first to speculate that stellar energy was due to nuclear fusion of hydrogen into helium, "The Internal Constitution of the Stars" (1920)

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON



- Arthur Eddington was the first to speculate that stellar energy was due to nuclear fusion of hydrogen into helium, "The Internal Constitution of the Stars" (1920)
- Francis Aston had recently shown (1907) that the masses:

 $m_{\text{helium}} = (0.8\%) (4) m_{\text{hydrogen}}$



- Arthur Eddington was the first to speculate that stellar energy was due to nuclear fusion of hydrogen into helium, "The Internal Constitution of the Stars" (1920)
- Francis Aston had recently shown (1907) that the masses:

 $m_{\text{helium}} = (0.8\%) (4) m_{\text{hydrogen}}$

• Cecilia Payne, in 1925, published her doctoral thesis showing that hydrogen is the primary constituent in stars and the most abundant element in the universe.



- Arthur Eddington was the first to speculate that stellar energy was due to nuclear fusion of hydrogen into helium, "The Internal Constitution of the Stars" (1920)
- Francis Aston had recently shown (1907) that the masses:

 $m_{\text{helium}} = (0.8\%) (4) m_{\text{hydrogen}}$

 Cecilia Payne, in 1925, published her doctoral thesis showing that hydrogen is the primary constituent in stars and the most abundant element in the univers Her thesis was discredited at

the time by Henry Russell who later published a paper in 1929 agreeing with her. Yet Russell is the one who is primarily credited for this.



- Arthur Eddington was the first to speculate that stellar energy was due to nuclear fusion of hydrogen into helium, "The Internal Constitution of the Stars" (1920)
- Francis Aston had recently shown (1907) that the masses:

 $m_{\text{helium}} = (0.8\%) (4) m_{\text{hydrogen}}$

- Cecilia Payne, in 1925, published her doctoral thesis showing that hydrogen is the primary constituent in stars and the most abundant element in the universe.
- George Gamow, in 1928, introduced quantum tunneling



- Arthur Eddington was the first to speculate that stellar energy was due to nuclear fusion of hydrogen into helium, "The Internal Constitution of the Stars" (1920)
- Francis Aston had recently shown (1907) that the masses:

 $m_{\text{helium}} = (0.8\%) (4) m_{\text{hydrogen}}$

- Cecilia Payne, in 1925, published her doctoral thesis showing that hydrogen is the primary constituent in stars and the most abundant element in the universe.
- George Gamow, in 1928, introduced quantum tunneling
- Mark Oliphant, in 1933, first demonstrated neutrons from fusion



- Arthur Eddington was the first to speculate that stellar energy was due to nuclear fusion of hydrogen into helium, "The Internal Constitution of the Stars" (1920)
- Francis Aston had recently shown (1907) that the masses:

 $m_{\text{helium}} = (0.8\%) (4) m_{\text{hydrogen}}$

- Cecilia Payne, in 1925, published her doctoral thesis showing that hydrogen is the primary constituent in stars and the most abundant element in the universe.
- George Gamow, in 1928, introduced quantum tunneling
- Mark Oliphant, in 1933, first demonstrated neutrons from fusion
- Hans Bethe, in 1939, showed that beta decay and quantum tunneling in the sun's core may convert one of the protons to a neutron, producing deuterium



- Arthur Eddington was the first to speculate that stellar energy was due to nuclear fusion of hydrogen into helium, "The Internal Constitution of the Stars" (1920)
- Francis Aston had recently shown (1907) that the masses:

 $m_{\text{helium}} = (0.8\%) (4) m_{\text{hydrogen}}$

- Cecilia Payne, in 1925, published her doctoral thesis showing that hydrogen is the primary constituent in stars and the most abundant element in the universe.
- George Gamow, in 1928, introduced quantum tunneling
- Mark Oliphant, in 1933, first demonstrated
- Hans Bethe, in 1939, showed that beta deca tunneling in the sun's core may convert one neutron, producing deuterium

The recent ARPA-E BETHE program funding "Breakthroughs in THErmonuclear fusion" named after Hans Bethe

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

H-bomb in the 1950s showed that nuclear fusion does work if controlling it isn't a requirement



- First full-scale tests in the US in 1952
- Requires X-rays from fission reactions to heat, compress, and ignite fusion fuel
- Fission explosion is required for fusion explosion to occur
- Goal is to avoid the disadvantages of fission, so this is not pursued in earnest for fusion energy
W

The first fusion reactor was a Z-pinch, patented in 1946

- Plasma column with axial current flowing through it
- As with a current-carrying wire, a theta (azimuthal) magnetic field is generated
- The magnetic pressure could compress the plasma potentially achieving the Lawson criteria i.e. magnetic confinement fusion



W

The first fusion reactor was a Z-pinch, patented in 1946

- Plasma column with axial current flowing through it
- As with a current-carrying wire, a theta (azimuthal) magnetic field is generated
- The magnetic pressure could compress the plasma potentially achieving the Lawson criteria i.e. magnetic confinement fusion
- Other concepts were prioritized due to susceptibility to plasma instabilities
- However, the last 3 decades have shown that there are stabilization techniques such as shear-flow stabilization that can make this a viable concept for fusion energy
- Provides a simple, elegant concept for fusion
- Pulsed device, lots of private funding









- Lyman Spitzer was unaware of the Zpinch work and created the stellarator
- This ultimately led to the creation of PPPL
- Steady-state device, evolved substantially

W

- Lyman Spitzer was unaware of the Zpinch work and created the stellarator
- This ultimately led to the creation of PPPL
- Steady-state device, evolved substantially
- Complicated 3-D magnetic fields, breakthroughs in theory, optimized magnets → substantial private and public funding!
- Complex engineering to achieve 3-D field structure

W

- Lyman Spitzer was unaware of the Zpinch work and created the stellarator
- This ultimately led to the creation of PPPL
- Steady-state device, evolved substantially
- Complicated 3-D magnetic fields, breakthroughs in theory, optimized magnets → substantial private and public funding!
- Complex engineering to achieve 3-D field structure



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

V

- Lyman Spitzer was unaware of the Zpinch work and created the stellarator
- This ultimately led to the creation of PPPL
- Steady-state device, evolved substantially
- Complicated 3-D magnetic fields, breakthroughs in theory, optimized magnets → substantial private and public funding!
- Complex engineering to achieve 3-D field structure



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

W

- Lyman Spitzer was unaware of the Zpinch work and created the stellarator
- This ultimately led to the creation of PPPL
- Steady-state device, evolved substantially
- Interest resumed in recent years

- Complicated 3-D magnetic fields, breakthroughs in theory, optimized magnets → substantial private and public funding!
- Complex engineering to achieve 3-D field structure



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Tokamaks emerged in the 1950s in the USSR, most funded and developed magnetic confinement fusion device

- Toroidal chamber requiring simple geometry external field coils to confine the donut shaped plasma
- Steady-state device
- Hundreds of tokamaks built across the world
- TFTR at PPPL reached Q = 0.3 and JET in the UK reached Q = 0.67 in the 1990s
- KSTAR (Korea) records for longest duration (102s) and 48s at a temperature of 100 million deg in 2024



Tokamaks emerged in the 1950s in the USSR, most funded and developed magnetic confinement fusion device

- Toroidal chamber requiring simple geometry external field coils to confine the donut shaped plasma
- Steady-state device
- Hundreds of tokamaks built across the world
- TFTR at PPPL reached Q = 0.3 and JET in the UK reached Q = 0.67 in the 1990s
- KSTAR (Korea) records for longest duration (102s) and 48s at a temperature of 100 million deg in 2024
- ITER, being built in southern France, will be the world's largest tokamak, multiple countries involved
- ITER's goal is to reach a burning plasma regime, Q~10
- Expected to produce 500 MW of fusion power, but focus is still research not powerplant



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

- Private investment is changing the story dramatically
- Field-reversed configurations
- Magnetic mirrors
- Spheromaks
- And others



- Private investment is changing the story dramatically
- Field-reversed configurations
- Magnetic mirrors
- Spheromaks
- And others





- Private investment is changing the story dramatically
- Field-reversed configurations
- Magnetic mirrors
- Spheromaks
- And others







UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

- Private investment is changing the story dramatically
- Field-reversed configurations
- Magnetic mirrors
- Spheromaks
- And others
- Recent advances in magnet technology, hightemperature superconductors, have a transformative effect on the field of MCF





Commonwealth Fusion Systems



- Lasers providing energy directly or indirectly, through x-rays, to target to compress it to fusion conditions
- The Nova laser was built in the 1980s, but lost energy before hitting the fuel



- Lasers providing energy directly or indirectly, through x-rays, to target to compress it to fusion conditions
- The Nova laser was built in the 1980s, but lost energy before hitting the fuel
- Donna Strickland and Gérard Mourou invented chirp pulse amplification (Nobel prize) which was transformative for future laser facilities



- Lasers providing energy directly or indirectly, through x-rays, to target to compress it to fusion conditions
- The Nova laser was built in the 1980s, but lost energy before hitting the fuel
- Donna Strickland and Gérard Mourou invented chirp pulse amplification (Nobel prize) which was transformative for future laser facilities
- NIF was the first to achieve fusion ignition, Q=2.36 with 5.2 MJ of fusion energy



- Lasers providing energy directly or indirectly, through x-rays, to target to compress it to fusion conditions
- The Nova laser was built in the 1980s, but lost energy before hitting the fuel
- Donna Strickland and Gérard Mourou invented chirp pulse amplification (Nobel prize) which was transformative for future laser facilities
- NIF was the first to achieve fusion ignition, Q=2.36 with 5.2 MJ of fusion energy
- NIF's primary goal is still stockpile stewardship
- Very challenging to achieve inertial fusion energy with NIF's lasers, only 2 shots per day



- Lasers providing energy directly or indirectly, through x-rays, to target to compress it to fusion conditions
- The Nova laser was built in the 1980s, but lost energy before hitting the fuel
- Donna Strickland and Gérard Mourou invented chirp pulse amplification (Nobel prize) which was transformative for future laser facilities
- NIF was the first to achieve fusion ignition, Q=2.36 with 5.2 MJ of fusion energy
- NIF's primary goal is still stockpile stewardship
- Very challenging to achieve inertial fusion energy with NIF's lasers, only 2 shots per day
- US DOE recently funded inertial fusion energy hubs
- Number of private ventures exploring different variations of laser-driven fusion, including laser technology



• Uses pulsed-power, fast discharge of large capacitors, to rapidly create and implode Z-pinches

- Uses pulsed-power, fast discharge of large capacitors, to rapidly create and implode Z-pinches
- Cylindrical configuration typically

- Uses pulsed-power, fast discharge of large capacitors, to rapidly create and implode Z-pinches
- Cylindrical configuration typically
- Sandia's Z-machine existed in some form since the 1980s and reached its present form in the 1990s, presently ~ 18 MAmps of current



- Uses pulsed-power, fast discharge of large capacitors, to rapidly create and implode Z-pinches
- Cylindrical configuration typically
- Sandia's Z-machine existed in some form since the 1980s and reached its present form in the 1990s, presently ~ 18 MAmps of current
- MagLIF (Magnetized Liner Inertial Fusion) generates fusion energy by driving currents in a thin liner (e.g. aluminum can) to compress D-T fusion fuel target



- Uses pulsed-power, fast discharge of large capacitors, to rapidly create and implode Z-pinches
- Cylindrical configuration typically
- Sandia's Z-machine existed in some form since the 1980s and reached its present form in the 1990s, presently ~ 18 MAmps of current
- MagLIF (Magnetized Liner Inertial Fusion) generates fusion energy by driving currents in a thin liner (e.g. aluminum can) to compress D-T fusion fuel target
- Other variations of MIF exist with different drivers (e.g. plasma jets) and different targets (e.g. FRCs)





- Uses pulsed-power, fast discharge of large capacitors, to rapidly create and implode Z-pinches
- Cylindrical configuration typically
- Sandia's Z-machine existed in some form since the 1980s and reached its present form in the 1990s, presently ~ 18 MAmps of current
- MagLIF (Magnetized Liner Inertial Fusion) generates fusion energy by driving currents in a thin liner (e.g. aluminum can) to compress D-T fusion fuel target
- Other variations of MIF exist with different drivers (e.g. plasma jets) and different targets (e.g. FRCs)
- Public and private efforts for stockpile stewardship and fusion energy





Progress in fusion energy summarized by fusion triple product vs temperature and vs year for various experiments



Credit: Wurzel and Hsu, Phys. Plasmas (2022)

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Progress in fusion energy summarized by fusion triple product vs temperature and vs year for various experiments





Credit: Wurzel and Hsu, Phys. Plasmas (2022)

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

There is over \$6 billion in private investment in fusion with 80% of the investment in the US, this is unprecedented!



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON



• One of 14 NAE Grand Challenges in the 21st century: Provide energy from fusion



- One of 14 NAE Grand Challenges in the 21st century: Provide energy from fusion
- NASEM report on Bringing Fusion to the US Grid: US public and private sector should produce net electricity in a fusion plant in the US by 2035-2040



- One of 14 NAE Grand Challenges in the 21st century: Provide energy from fusion
- NASEM report on Bringing Fusion to the US Grid: US public and private sector should produce net electricity in a fusion plant in the US by 2035-2040
- 2024 DOE Fusion Energy Strategy:
 - 1. "Close science and technology gaps to a commercially relevant fusion pilot plant
 - 2. Prepare the path to sustainable, equitable commercial fusion deployment
 - 3. Build and leverage external partnerships"





• One of 14 NAE Grand Challenges in the 21st century: Provide energy from fusion

With the unprecedented private investment and the national commitment to fusion energy, there is no better time than now to work in fusion!

ENERGY

ging Fusion to the US Grid: US tor should produce net lant in the US by 2035-2040

gy Strategy: d technology gaps to a vant fusion pilot plant to sustainable, equitable n deployment

3. Build and leverage external partnerships"

Univ. of Washington has a long history of contributions to fusion

- Fusion energy research at the UW at least since the 1980s in the nuclear engineering department
- This was absorbed into the aeronautics and astronautics department
- Long standing history in fusion, significant experiments originated for innovative confinement concepts
- Research relevant to magnetic, inertial, and magneto-inertial fusion with a long history of theoretical, computational, and experimental contributions to plasma physics
- Multiple fusion startups spun out of the UW
- Consider the UW for a PhD in plasma physics and fusion energy!

