1 Introductory Remarks

This lecture is intended to be a brief introduction to what I consider to be the principal "must know" characteristics of plasma. It is, in no way, intended to be a comprehensive discussion of the topic. For more advanced introductions to plasma physics, there are several good resources: eg. Introduction to Plasma Physics (F. Chen), Plasma Physics (R. Goldston, P. Rutherford). There are also free online lecture notes of Intro to Plasma courses: [R. Fitzpatrick at UT Austin](https://openstax.org/details/physics-0) and [R. Parker at MIT](https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/physics/20-80-potential-fusion-research-quarterly-meeting-series-2019-spring/) (linkable on the pdf of this document).

2 Plasma Characteristics

When gas becomes ionized it becomes a plasma. Typically, what we consider to be a plasma is actually not fully ionized. In many cases, only a small fraction of the gas is ionized. These are called (not surprisingly) weakly ionized plasmas, as opposed to fully ionized plasmas (deep in the sun or inside a magnetically confined fusion device). The degree of ionization is determined by the Saha Equation:

\[
\frac{n_i}{n_n} \approx 2.4 \times 10^{21} \frac{T^{3/2}}{n_i} e^{-U_i/k_B T}
\]  
(1)

Where \( n_i \) and \( n_n \) are the density of the ions and the neutrals in \([m^{-3}]\), \( T \) is the gas temperature in Kelvin, \( k_B \) is Boltzmann’s constant and \( U_i \) is the ionization energy, that is, the energy required to remove the outermost electron. As a comparison, at standard temperature and pressure, nitrogen has a degree of ionization of:

\[
\frac{n_i}{n_n} \approx 10^{-122}
\]  
(2)

As the temperature starts rising to the order of \( U_i \) (that is, to around a few thousands degrees K), the ionization becomes non-negligible and the gas becomes a plasma.
3 Review of basic mechanics equations

Disregarding magnetic forces, the basic equation of motion of a given particle of mass $m_1$ and electric charge $q_1$ when it comes a distance $r_{1,2}$ to another charged particle of mass $m_2$ and charge $q_2$ is given by the equation:

$$m_1 \ddot{\vec{r}} = \Sigma \vec{F} = \vec{F}_G + \vec{F}_E = \left[-\frac{Gm_1m_2}{r_{1,2}^2} + \frac{q_1q_2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0r_{1,2}^2}\right] \hat{r} \quad (3)$$

where $\vec{F}_G$ is the gravitational attraction (hence the minus sign) and $\vec{F}_E$ is the electrical force. $G$ and $\varepsilon_0$ are the gravitational constant and the permittivity of free space respectively. Assuming particle 1 is an electron and particle 2 is a Deuterium isotope, then the ratio between the forces is:

$$\frac{F_E}{F_G} = 1.1 \times 10^{39}, \quad (4)$$

therefore, for laboratory plasmas, gravitational forces can be disregarded and we can focus only on electric and magnetic forces, otherwise called the Lorentz Force. Note that gravity IS important for astrophysical plasmas due to the low degree of ionization and size of the systems.

For a particle of mass $m$ and charge $q$ moving with a velocity $\vec{v}$ through an electric and magnetic field of magnitudes $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$ respectively, the equation of motion of the particle is:

$$\vec{F} = m\ddot{\vec{r}} = q \left[\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}\right] \quad (5)$$

This is the equation we will use when analyzing the mechanics of individual particles in the plasma.

4 Plasma thought experiment

Let’s begin with a simple picture of a rectangular box of plasma which, as quasi-neutrality dictates, is composed of electrons and positive ions, as shown in Figure 1

4.1 Plasma Frequency

Now suppose we are to move the center of mass of the electrons to the left (or negative direction in our $\hat{x}$ axis) a distance $\Delta x$. There is now an accumulation of electrons on the left and an accumulation of ions on the right. An electric field is therefore created which points away from the positive slab and towards the negative slab. In fact, if we imagine the distance between the positive and negative slabs to be very small compared to the area of the slabs, then the boundary conditions are too far from our points of interest and we can view
Figure 1: Moving the center of mass of the electrons with respect to the ions creates a restoring force this as an ideal parallel plate capacitor.

The electric field inside an ideal parallel plate capacitor is simply:

$$\vec{E} = \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_0} = \frac{Q}{A} = \left(\frac{en_e A \Delta x}{A}\right) / \epsilon_0 = \frac{en_e \Delta x}{\epsilon_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

pointing in the negative direction, where \(\sigma\) is the surface charge density (charge per unit area) of the plate, or slab in this case, \(Q\) is the total charge of the slab and \(A\) is its area. Note that the electric field is uniform between the slabs and it does not depend on their area, only on their thickness and number density.

The most common way of finding the electric field in a capacitor is done using Gauss’ Law: \(\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0\), where, in our case, \(\rho = en_e\) is the volume charge density. We won’t go into detail here, but this is a very beautiful derivation which uses the symmetry of the system.

Now, if we have an electron in the middle of the box feeling the electric field, the force on this electron (which, as with all of the electrons in the slab, has been shifted in the \(-\hat{x}\) direction), is:

$$\vec{F}_e = m_e \vec{a} = -e \vec{E} = \frac{e^2 n_e (\Delta x)}{\epsilon_0} \rightarrow \vec{a} = -\frac{e^2 n_e \Delta x}{m_e \epsilon_0}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

Where I have incorporated the direction of the shift in to the \(\Delta x\) vector. But Equation 7 is simply that of a harmonic oscillator with frequency:

$$\omega_{pe} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{e^2 n_e}{m_e \epsilon_0}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)
Figure 2: The pressure difference between two vertical positions is related to the weight of the slab between them.

Not surprisingly this is the electron plasma frequency of the system. Analogously, for an ion of charge $Ze$ and mass $m_i$, the ion plasma frequency can be defined as: $\omega_{pi} = \sqrt{\frac{Z^2 e^2 n_i}{m_i \epsilon_0}}$. Let’s look at it in a little more detail: If you look at the thought experiment, for the same displacement, the total charge in each slab will increase as you increase the electron number density $n_e$, hence the force is stronger and our oscillation is faster. Also, for the same field, the acceleration on electrons is greater than that of ions because the same force $(e\vec{E})$ is exerted on such disparate masses. This explains the inverse relation on mass.

4.2 Thermal Velocity

Now, let’s forget about the thought experiment for a second and think about the individual moving particles in our system. As energy is given to the plasma (through external voltages, neutral particle bombardment, microwave heating, etc.), the particles will start accelerating and colliding with each other. After enough time, the plasma reaches thermal equilibrium. While we have an intuitive idea of what thermal equilibrium means, how does it reflect in the state of the system?

In the following section we will explore the concept of temperature and relate it to the density and distribution function of the plasma. This is will mostly follow the treatment from [Feynman’s Lectures Vol.1](#). While the following analysis is done on gas, it can be extended to plasmas.

Assume a column of ideal gas in thermal equilibrium (Figure 2). It obeys the ideal gas law:

$$pV = NkT$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $p$ is the pressure, $V$ is the volume, $N$ is the total number of particles, $T$ is the temperature and $k = 1.38 \times 10^{-23} JK^{-1}$ is the Boltzmann constant. This equation can be rewritten as:

$$p = \frac{N}{V} kT = nkT$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)
where \( n \) is the number density of the gas.

If the column is subject to gravity, the pressure at \( h_1 \) should differ from that at \( h_1 + \Delta h \) just by the pressure exerted by the weight of the slab between the 2 heights:

\[
\begin{align*}
 p(h_1) &= p(h_1 + \Delta h) + Mg/A \quad (11) \\
 p(h_1) &= p(h_1 + \Delta h) + \rho V g/A \quad (12) \\
 p(h_1) &= p(h_1 + \Delta h) + mnA\Delta hg/A \quad (13)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
 -(p(h_1+\Delta h) - p(h_1)) &= mng\Delta h \quad (14) \\
 -kT(n(h_1+\Delta h) - n(h_1)) &= mng\Delta h \quad (15)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\Delta n / n = -mg/kT\Delta h \quad (16)
\]

where \( M \) is the mass of the slab between the horizontal planes, \( \rho \) is the mass density of the gas, \( V \) is the volume of the slab, \( A \) is the area of the planes, and \( m \) is the mass of the gas particles. Taking the limit of \( \Delta h \to 0 \), Equation 16 leads to:

\[
\begin{align*}
 \ln n &= C - \frac{mgh}{kT} \quad (17) \\
 n(h_1) &= n(h=0)e^{-\frac{mgh}{kT}} \quad (18)
\end{align*}
\]

But the \( mgh \) numerator in the exponent of the RHS of Equation 18 is simply the potential energy of the particles at that position (for example, the potential energy of an electron in a potential \( \Phi \): \( P.E. = -e\Phi \)). So Equation 18 can be generalized as:

\[
n(\vec{x}) = n_0e^{-\frac{P.E.}{kT}} \quad (19)
\]

assuming the potential energy is a function of a generalized position \( \vec{x} \). This is a powerful equation and we will come back to it in later sections.

Now that we have the dependence of density on position (as a proxy of the potential energy) in a thermalized gas, let’s explore its dependence on velocity. We can assume that the dependencies are separable. That is,

\[
n(\vec{x},\vec{v}) = f(\vec{x})g(\vec{v}) \quad (20)
\]

where \( f \) and \( g \) are functions of only position and velocity respectively. Going back to the column of thermalized gas, we will now explore a different question: What is the relationship between the number of particles that cross vertically upwards at 2 different planes \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) as shown in Figure 3? It is clear that not all particles that cross \( h_1 \) will reach \( h_2 \) since some will not have enough energy. This is the reason that it’s more tenuous at higher P.E. In order to reach, the particles will have to have a vertical velocity \( \vec{v} \) greater than a minimum value \( \vec{u} \) such that: \( 1/2mu^2 = mg\Delta h \). In other words:

\[
N(h_2,\vec{v}>0) = N(h_1,\vec{v}>\vec{u}) \quad (21)
\]
where \(N(h_2,v>0)\) is the number of particles crossing plane \(h_2\) upwards per unit time, and \(N(h_1,v>u)\) is the number of particles crossing plane \(h_1\) upwards per unit time with vertical velocity greater than \(u\).

We can look now at the number of particles crossing both planes with the same velocity restriction: \(v > 0\), that is, we can compare \(N(h_2,v>0)\) and \(N(h_1,v>0)\).

As stated in Equation 20, the density can be separated between the positional dependence and the velocity dependence. Since we’ve imposed the same velocity restriction \((v > 0)\):

\[
\frac{N(h_2,v>0)}{N(h_1,v>0)} = \frac{n(h_2)}{n(h_1)} = e^{\frac{-mgh}{kT}} = e^{\frac{-1}{2} \frac{mu^2}{kT}}
\]

(22)

Finally, we can substitute Equation 21 into Equation 22 to get:

\[
\frac{N(h_1,v>u)}{N(h_1,v>0)} = e^{\frac{-1}{2} \frac{mu^2}{kT}} \quad (23)
\]

\[
\frac{N(v>u)}{N(v>0)} = e^{\frac{-1}{2} \frac{mu^2}{kT}} \rightarrow N(v>u) \propto e^{\frac{-1}{2} \frac{mu^2}{kT}} \quad (24)
\]

where we have used, again, the separable nature of the density. That is, the positional dependence cancels out. At any height, the number of particles crossing the vertical plane upwards per unit time is proportional to \(\exp(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{mu^2}{kT})\).

Equation 24 is independent of position and should apply everywhere in space.

We can now introduce the probability distribution function: \(f(v)\) such that \(f(v)dv\) will be the probability that the particles have a velocity between \(v\) and \(v + dv\). Equation 24 sets a constraint on \(f(v)\). But the number of particles crossing a given plane per unit time, \(T\), with speed \(v > u\) are not just:

\[
N(v>u) \propto \int_u^\infty f(v)dv
\]

(25)
as one could intuitively think, since this would not take into account that within
a unit time $T$, particles with velocity $v$ will only cross the plane if they’re within
a distance $vT$ beneath the plane (slow ones have to be closer than fast ones).
For a given velocity $v$, the number of particles a distance $vT$ below the plane
would be $\propto (vT)f(v)$, therefore, for all $v > u$ we have the equation:

$$N_{(v>u)} \propto \int_u^\infty v f(v) dv \propto e^{-1/2m v^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

Using the normalization: $\int_{-\infty}^\infty f(v) dv = 1$, Equation (26) leads to:

$$f(v) = \frac{m}{2\pi kT} e^{-\frac{mv^2}{2kT}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

We can extend this to 3-dimensional velocity space as:

$$f(v_x, v_y, v_z) = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi kT}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{m(v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2)}{2kT}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

We now bring back the $(x, y, z)$ dependence: From Equation (20) we can separate
the distribution function into a positional component and a velocity-dependent component:

$$f(x, y, z, v_x, v_y, v_z) = f(x, y, z)f(v_x, v_y, v_z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

with a normalization:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y, z, v_x, v_y, v_z) dv_x dv_y dv_z = n(x, y, z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

Leading to the full Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution function:

$$f(x, y, z, v_x, v_y, v_z) = n(x, y, z) \left(\frac{m}{2\pi kT}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{m(v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2)}{2kT}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

What Equation (31) says is that the velocity of the particles are distributed
in a Gaussian or bell curve with a width proportional to the temperature of the
gas. Or, put it another way, the width of distribution in velocity space is what
gives rise to the concept of temperature of a gas.
If we want to study the speed distribution of the particles, $v \equiv |v| \equiv \sqrt{v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2}$,
we find the more typical form of the the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution function:

$$f(v) = 4\pi n_0 \left(\frac{m}{2\pi kT}\right)^{3/2} v^2 e^{-\frac{mv^2}{2kT}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

an example of which is shown in Figure 4 (note that the density has been taken
as homogeneous, $n_0$, to simplify the analysis). As is clear, the temperature
of the gas is related to the width of the distribution as well as to the average
speed $v_{\text{mean}} = \sqrt{3kT/m}$, and the most probable speed (the peak of the curve)
\[ v_{\text{peak}} = \sqrt{2kT/m} \]. There is, therefore, a characteristic speed of the particles which we call the thermal speed defined as:

\[
v_t \equiv \sqrt{\frac{kT}{m}} \quad (33)
\]

Now, the electrons and ions will have their own thermal speeds given by: \( v_{te} = \sqrt{kT_e/m_e} \) and \( v_{ti} = \sqrt{kT_i/m_i} \). How do these speeds typically compare?

Let's say an electron and an ion are getting energy from an electric field (which is often the case) for a given amount of time \( t \). The momentum gained by both particles is the same: \( m_e v_e = m_i v_i = eEt \). so \( v_e/v_i = m_i/m_e \gg 1 \). This disparity translates to \( v_{te} \) and \( v_{ti} \) hence, if energy transfer between species is low, \( T_e/T_i \propto m_i/m_e \gg 1 \). Note that even if the particles have enough time to reach thermal equilibrium, which is more common in magnetically confined plasmas, \( T_e = T_i \) still leads to \( v_{te} \gg v_{ti} \).

4.3 Debye Length

We can go back to our thought experiment with the added knowledge of the plasma thermal speeds. The first, and easiest way, of arriving at the Debye length is to do a dimensional analysis of what we've already acquired. We've found a characteristic \([\text{time}]\) in the \( \omega_p \), and we've found a characteristic speed,
or \([\text{length}] / \text{[time]}\) in \(v_t\). Therefore, we can immediately deduce a characteristic length called the Debye length:

\[
\lambda_D \equiv \frac{v_t}{\omega_p} = \sqrt{\frac{kT}{m}} = \sqrt{\frac{kT \epsilon_0}{q^2 n}}
\]  

(34)

More generally, the Debye length for a single species ion of charge \(Ze\) is defined as:

\[
\lambda_D = \sqrt{\frac{k \epsilon_0}{e^2 (n_e / T_e + Z^2 n_i / T_i)}}
\]

(35)

which can be derived from a more detailed analysis of Poisson’s equation to be explored later. Nonetheless, when we can take the ions as stationary (particularly in weakly ionized cold plasmas), the Debye length is effectively taken as the electron Debye length:

\[
\lambda_D = \sqrt{\frac{kT \epsilon_0}{e^2 n_e}}.
\]

(36)

To get a more intuitive picture of what the Debye length is related to, we can go back to the thought experiment where we now have a picture of an electron that is subject to a simple harmonic oscillator system. If we were to follow the motion of the electron in this simple picture, it would follow a harmonic motion of the form:

\[
x = A \cos (\omega_{pe} t)
\]

(37)

where I have disregarded any phase and I still haven’t determined it’s amplitude. How can we determine the amplitude \(A\) of oscillation? If we take the time derivative of Equation 37, we can find the velocity of the electron:

\[
v = -A \omega_{pe} \sin (\omega_{pe} t)
\]

(38)

But we know that the speed of the electrons is around \(v_{the}\) (of course, this is a characteristic speed), so we can use that as the constraint and we have

\[
A \omega_{pe} = v_{te} \rightarrow A = v_{te} / \omega_{pe} = \lambda_{De}.
\]

(39)

Where we have recovered the result found from dimensional analysis.

We can derive the Debye length more rigorously by assuming a system wherein a point charge \(Q\) is immersed in a steady state plasma (see Figure 5). We can explore the electric potential \(\Phi(r)\) by using Poisson’s equation:

\[
\nabla^2 \Phi(r) = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} (\rho_c + \rho_p)
\]

(40)

Where the point charge is located at the origin, hence it creates a charge density of \(\rho_c = Q \delta(r = 0)\), \(\rho_p\) is the charge density created by the plasma, which
we assume is composed of electrons and a single species of ions of charge $Ze$: $\rho_p = \rho_i + \rho_e = e(Zn_i - n_e)$ and $\Phi(r)$ is the electric potential, which, because of the symmetry of the system, we take as only a function of the radial position, $r$, around the point charge. Since the electrons and ions have a potential energy of $-e\Phi$ and $Ze\Phi$ respectively, we can use Equation (19) assuming thermal equilibrium within each species:

$$n_e = n_{0e}e^{-\frac{\Phi}{kT_e}} \quad (41)$$

$$n_i = n_{0i}e^{-\frac{Ze\Phi}{kT_i}} \quad (42)$$

where $n_{0e}$ and $n_{0i}$ are the electron and ion densities far from the point charge ($\Phi \to 0$) where quasi-neutrality prevails, so $n_{0e} = Zn_{0i}$. Assuming $e\Phi \ll kT$:

$$n_e \approx n_{0e}\left(1 + \frac{e\Phi}{kT_e}\right) \quad (43)$$

$$n_i \approx n_{0i}\left(1 - \frac{Ze\Phi}{kT_i}\right) \quad (44)$$

$$\rho_p \approx -e\left(n_{0e} + \frac{en_{0e}\Phi}{kT_e} - Zn_{0i} + \frac{Z^2en_{0i}\Phi}{kT_i}\right) \quad (45)$$

$$\rho_p \approx -\frac{e^2\Phi}{k}\left(\frac{n_{0e}}{T_e} + \frac{Z^2n_{0i}}{T_i}\right) \quad (46)$$

combining Equations (40) and (46) we have:

$$\nabla^2\Phi(r) = \frac{e^2}{k\epsilon_0}\left(\frac{n_{0e}}{T_e} + \frac{Z^2n_{0i}}{T_i}\right) \Phi(r) - \frac{Q}{\epsilon_0}\delta(0) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_D^2}\right) \Phi(r) - \frac{Q}{\epsilon_0}\delta(0) \quad (47)$$

where we have used the definition of Debye length from Equation (35). We can
Figure 6: The plasma shields the point charge and lowers the electric potential close to the charge.

Rewrite this equation as:

\[
\left( \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{\lambda_D^2} \right) \Phi(r) = -\frac{e}{\epsilon_0} \delta(0)
\] (48)

Before we solve this, note that if the second term in the LHS were not there, we would recover the equation for the electric potential of a point charge: \( \Phi_c(r) = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \). The solution of Equation (48) is of the form:

\[
\Phi(r) = \frac{Qe^{-(r/\lambda_D)}}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}
\] (49)

Figure 6 illustrates the effect the presence of the plasma has on the potential as compared to a point charge in empty space. In the presence of a positive charge, the electrons will quickly try to shield it, but since they are moving so fast \( (\nu_e) \) and in all directions, there is a region close to the charge where the electrons will escape (due to their own inertia) and not completely shield it. This region, where the electric fields are not completely shielded, is called the sheath and its length is of the order of the Debye length.
Table 1: Plasma Frequency and Debye length for various systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>(n_e [m^{-3}])</th>
<th>(T_e [eV])</th>
<th>(\omega_{pe} [s^{-1}])</th>
<th>(\lambda_D [m])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstellar gas</td>
<td>(10^6)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(10^7)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Wind</td>
<td>(10^7)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(10^8)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Allen belts</td>
<td>(10^9)</td>
<td>(10^4)</td>
<td>(10^6)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionosphere</td>
<td>(10^{14})</td>
<td>(10^{-4})</td>
<td>(10^7)</td>
<td>(10^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Corona</td>
<td>(10^{13})</td>
<td>(10^2)</td>
<td>(10^8)</td>
<td>(10^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candle flame</td>
<td>(10^{14})</td>
<td>(10^{-4})</td>
<td>(10^9)</td>
<td>(10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neon lights</td>
<td>(10^{15})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(10^9)</td>
<td>(10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Discharge</td>
<td>(10^{18})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(10^{14})</td>
<td>(10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Plasma</td>
<td>(10^{18})</td>
<td>(10^2)</td>
<td>(10^{14})</td>
<td>(10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusion Experiment</td>
<td>(10^{19})</td>
<td>(10^4)</td>
<td>(10^{14})</td>
<td>(10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusion Reactor</td>
<td>(10^{20})</td>
<td>(10^4)</td>
<td>(10^{14})</td>
<td>(10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightning</td>
<td>(10^{24})</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(10^{14})</td>
<td>(10^{-8})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrons in metal</td>
<td>(10^{29})</td>
<td>(10^{-2})</td>
<td>(10^{16})</td>
<td>(10^{-12})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Plasma frequency and Debye length for various plasma systems

In Table 1, it’s possible to view the wide range of density and temperature where plasma exists. The plasma frequency and Debye length has been calculated to give a sense of the characteristic parameters in the systems.

The *Electrons in metal* case leads to an interesting discussion, outlined in Feynman’s Lectures on Physics VII 32-7 (linked in the pdf) where the reflection and transparency of metals to electromagnetic waves can be viewed through the lens of plasma: Visible light has a frequency of \(\sim 5 \times 10^{14} \text{Hz}\) whereas x-rays, for example, have a frequency of \(\sim 10^{16} – 10^{19} \text{Hz}\).

Finally, as illustrated in Figure 7, the \(\omega_{pe}\) of the ionosphere (\(10^7\)Hz) leads to distinct behavior between AM and FM radio waves. It explains the reflection of AM waves (where \(\omega < \omega_{pe}\)) and the penetration of FM waves (where \(\omega > \omega_{pe}\)).

5.1 Collisional frequency

The final parameter to consider in an unmagnetized plasma is the frequency of collisions. I’ll focus on electron-electron collisional frequency (noted hereafter as \(\nu_e\)) but most of the dependencies and arguments are identical in ion-ion and electron-ion collisions.

The first step is to define two quantities: the time between collisions which is the inverse of the collisional frequency, \(\Delta t = 1/\nu_e\) and the mean free path, \(\lambda_{mfp}\). As we’ve seen before, the electrons are traveling at a characteristic speed of \(v_{th,c}\), therefore, we can define:

\[
\lambda_{mfp} = v_{th,c} \Delta t = v_{th,c} / \nu_e
\]
Figure 7: The AM spectrum is well below the $\approx 10\, MHz$ $\omega_{pe}$ of the ionosphere, leading to their reflection. FM waves, at higher frequency, penetrate it.

Figure 8: The volume associated with an individual electron is given by $\Delta V = 1/n_e$. 
as the distance traveled by an electron (on average) before it collides with another electron. Every electron has an associated volume in the system \( \Delta V = 1/n_e \), that is, \( \Delta V \) is the volume that is occupied by each electron. Therefore, we can define the electron-electron cross section, \( \sigma_{ee} \), with the equation \( \Delta V = \sigma_{ee} \lambda_{mfp} \), as shown in Figure 8. Therefore, using Equations 50 and 33:

\[
\nu_e = n_e \nu_{the} \sigma_{ee}.
\]  

(51)

An estimate of the cross section can be made by observing that an electron-electron collision is really a Coulomb repulsion interaction. The distance of closest approach, \( b \), between colliding electrons can be taken as the radius of the cross section, that is:

\[
\sigma_{ee} \approx \pi b^2.
\]  

(52)

While the actual distance of closest approach between two colliding electrons depends on relative velocities and angles of approach (and a rigorous derivation would take all possible angles and velocities into account and weight them according to the distribution function), we can make a heuristic case and assume a typical configuration of an electron approaching a stationary electron head on with a speed of \( v_{the} \) as in Figure 9. As shown in the figure, in the center of mass frame, each electron is approaching the other with speeds \( v_{the}/2 \) and at closest approach, they are separated by \( b \). From conservation of energy, assuming that the electrons are very far from each other at the beginning:

\[
\frac{m_e (v_{the}/2)^2}{2} + \frac{m_e (v_{the}/2)^2}{2} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 b} \]

(53)

\[
b = \frac{e^2}{\pi \varepsilon_0 m_e v_{the}^2} = \frac{e^2}{\pi \varepsilon_0 T_e}.
\]  

(54)

Not surprisingly, the larger the temperature, the closer the electrons can approach. Putting Equations 54 together with 52 and 51 we get the final result:

\[
\nu_e \propto n_e \left( \sqrt{T_e/m_e} \right) \left( \frac{e^2}{T_e} \right)^2 = \frac{n_e e^4}{m_e^{1/2} T_e^{3/2}}
\]  

(55)

since we know that many assumptions have been made, we’ve disregarded the constants.

Since the resistivity, \( \eta \), is proportional to the collisional frequency, we get the very important result:

\[
\eta \propto T_e^{-3/2}
\]  

(56)

that is, the plasma becomes a better conductor as the temperature goes up. This dependance is of great importance in astrophysical plasmas as well as in tokamak plasmas.

As a point of comparison, the resistivity in a metal is well known to increase with temperature (contrary to the case in plasmas).
Figure 9: An electron with speed $v_{th}$ colliding with a stationary one can be viewed in the center of mass frame and the distance of closest approach can be derived from conservation of energy.

6 Magnetized plasmas

Finally, we’ll do a small introduction to what happens when we incorporate effects of magnetic fields on the plasma. As shown in Equation 5, the force of a particle which is moving in a magnetic field is of the form:

$$\vec{F} = m\vec{a} = q\vec{v} \times \vec{B} \rightarrow \vec{a} = \frac{q}{m}(\vec{v} \times \vec{B})$$ \hspace{1cm} (57)

Suppose a positively charged particle of mass $m$ and charge $q$ is moving in the plane of the paper with velocity $\vec{v}$ and there is a magnetic field $\vec{B}$ pointing into the paper. As shown in Figure 10, the force, hence the acceleration of the particle is always pointing towards a center of motion and the particle draws a circular orbit in the plane. From Equation 57, the magnitude of the acceleration is $a = qvB/m$. But we know from kinematics that if a particle is rotating around a fixed point, the acceleration must be centripetal and the magnitude should be:

$$a = \frac{v^2}{r} = \frac{qvB}{m} \rightarrow r = \frac{vm}{qB} \hspace{1cm} (58)$$

If the particle that is rotating is an electron (ion) with speed $v_{te}$ ($v_{ti}$) then the radius of rotation is called the electron (ion) gyro-radius or Larmor radius and the equations are as follows:

$$\rho_e = \frac{m_e v_{te}}{eB}, \; \rho_i = \frac{m_i v_{ti}}{ZeB} \hspace{1cm} (59)$$

Finally, we can figure out the frequency of rotation of an electron or ion that is rotating at thermal speeds: $v_t = \omega, \rho$. These frequencies are very important in magnetized plasma physics and are called electron and ion gyro-frequencies (or
Figure 10: Trajectory of a positively charged particle moving with a velocity $\vec{v}$ where there is a magnetic field pointing into the page.

cyclotron frequencies):

$$\omega_{ce} = \frac{v_{te}}{\rho_e} = \frac{v_{te}}{\frac{m_e v_{te}}{eB}} = \frac{eB}{m_e}, \quad \omega_{ci} = \frac{ZeB}{m_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (60)

If the particles are not confined to the plane perpendicular to the magnetic fields but can move in three dimensions, the particles move freely in the direction parallel to the magnetic field but are confined to move in circular orbits perpendicular to the fields, therefore, they trace spiral orbits around the magnetic fields, as shown in Figure 11.
Figure 11: In three dimensions, particles follow spiral trajectories around magnetic fields.