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Personal Background

- I’ve lived in many places since my childhood
- Parents from Peru and Colombia, raised in Bogota, Colombia until I was ~ 8 years old
- Lived in Stavanger, Norway from 8-10 years old
- Lived in Ciudad del Carmen, Campeche, Mexico, at 10
- Arrived at 11 to the United States and first lived in Shreveport, Louisiana finally settling in Los Angeles, California
What inspired me in my scientific journey: self-organization in nature and Prigogine’s dissipative structures?

- BS in mechanical engineering, Physics minor
- California State Polytechnic University, 1996

Undergraduate Research in the Texas Experimental Tokamak (TEXT), 1994, 1995
- Plasma turbulence and edge density fluctuations

Intel Corporation, Graduate Fellow Intern,
Components Research Division, 1996-1997

MS and Ph.D. in nuclear engineering, 2001
- Postdoc, 2002-2003

Nuclear Fusion and Materials Science combine in discovering and understanding dissipative structures in irradiated materials
Where I’ve been after my PhD...

- Staff Scientist, 2003-2007
- 2 Postdocs, 2 Research Sci, 2 Engineers, >5 Undergrads
- Projects with Intel Corporation, Philips Research, Fraunhofer Aachen

- Assistant Professor, 2007-2011
- Associate Professor, 2011-2013
- 11 PhDs, 8 MS, >25 Undergrads

- Associate Professor, 2013-2017
- Professor and Associate Head of Graduate Programs, 2017-2019
- 12 PhDs, 6 MS, >10 Undergrads

- Professor and Department Head, 2019-Present
- 4 PhDs (Penn State), 2 MS (UIUC), 6 PhDs (Penn State), 5 UGs
Best of part of being a professor: watch my students succeed!
Magnetic fusion energy presents many materials challenges, including:

- High thermal heat fluxes
- Sputtering/blistering of plasma facing components
- Radiation damage
- Low induced radioactivity
- Chemical compatibility
- Joining/Welding
Stringent conditions in operational space of future plasma-burning fusion reactor environments

He in W is an issue for ITER, DEMO & FNSF.

14 MeV n’s, high He/dpa up to 150 dpa for blankets up to 50 dpa for divertor

PISCES-B: pure He plasma
M. Baldwin et al, NF 48 3 (2008) 035001
1200 K, 4290 s, 2x10^24 He^+ m^2, 25 eV He^+
- Little morphology
- Occasional blisters

PISCES-A: D2-He plasma
M. Miyamoto et al. JNM 415(2011) S657
600 K, 1000 s, 2.0x10^24 He^+ m^2, 55 eV He^+
- Little morphology
- Occasional blisters

NAGDIS-II: pure He plasma
N. Ohno et al., in IAEA-TM, Vienna, 2006
1250 K, 36000 s, 3.5x10^23 He^+ m^2, 11 eV He^+
- Evolving surface morphology
- Nano-scale ‘fuzz’

NAGDIS-II: He plasma
- Surface morphology
- Shallow depth
- Micro-scale

Can the liquid state provide an answer?

R. Doerner, UTK, July 26, 2016
PMI interactions are strongly coupled: plasma-surface and surface-subsurface interactions (cont.)

Key question: How do these PMI effects change for a liquid?

Nature of the cascade and its damage plays a key role

A Brief Timeline of Liquid PFCs in Nuclear Fusion US Program

- The application of liquid metals in nuclear fusion reactors has a long history and many different efforts.
- From fusion blankets to plasma-facing walls, liquids (metals, molten salts) have received the attention of many fusion scientists and engineers but most work remained basic/applied science.
Liquid Metals I: Basics

- What is the difference between a liquid and a solid metal?
  - Very little difference in material density: solids are only 10% more dense than liquids.
  - Key difference: time scale for atom mobility and macroscopic behavior.
  - Another key difference: The surfaces between a liquid and a solid metal behave very differently.

The properties and behavior of solid metals have been extensively investigated both from the point of view of the metallurgist and the engineer. In recent years the substantial advances which have been made in the field of solid state physics have greatly improved the theoretical understanding of the subject. The study of metals in the solid state is relatively easy as the atoms are regularly arranged on a crystal lattice which can be examined in detail by means of X-rays and described in simple mathematical terms. A stage has now been reached at which many of the properties of solid metals can be quantitatively described in terms of the electron theory of metals or of dislocation theory.

In comparison with these studies of solids the investigation of the liquid state is still in its infancy. The experimental and mathematical problems are much greater because of the irregular atomic arrangement in the liquid state and the fact that in general the temperatures at which liquids exist are higher than those for solids. The study of gases is simpler than that of liquids since the interatomic forces in gases are much smaller and the ideal gas laws can be applied. From the practical point of view there is no lack of interest in liquid metals. After the refining process, nearly all metals are melted and cast, either into a final shape or into a shape suitable for working in the solid state. Changes in the properties of the liquid metal may profoundly affect the soundness and quality of the casting. Increased interest is being shown in the use of liquid metals as heat exchange media in chemical and engineering plant and in nuclear reactors. The latter aspect has, in recent years, stimulated research on liquid metals and alloys in the United States and, to a lesser extent, the United Kingdom and Russia.
In liquid metals a phenomenon known as surface stratification occurs due to the charge state of the metal.

- N.D. Lang and W. Kohn (1970's, *Theory of Metal Surfaces*), J. Penfold (1990's), many others...
- Peter Pershan (Harvard U.) and Stuart Rice (U. Chicago) were pioneers in developing modern techniques and models to study how liquid metal surfaces behaved.
- The density of atoms near a surface would "stratify" or form a "layered" structure, this resulted in very interesting phenomena such as pre-melting, enhanced adsorption of impurities, and other effects that introduced implications to LM PFMs in fusion.
Liquid Metals I: Basics

• How do liquid metals behave in a fusion environment?*
  – We want to know how fast does it erode (e.g. test stands with energetic particles and/or plasma)
    • Particles such as: D (fuel), He (from fusion reaction), impurities (metals, H, C, O)
  – We want to test its surface properties and how it interacts with substrates/structural materials
  – We want to also know how it behaves in complex environments such as a fusion device

*both experimental test stands and computational modeling
Surface Properties: Evaporation vs Sputtering

- Evaporation is equally as important as sputtering yield as both inject wall materials into plasma
- Lowest melting point metals with attractive properties for fusion PFMs: Li, Sn and their alloys
- Sn has an evaporative flux many orders of magnitude lower than Li

Solid/Liquid/Gas thermodynamics:
heat of fusion and heat of vaporization

Solid/Liquid kinematics:
surface binding energy – minimum energy to remove energetically an atom from surface

---

Erosion mechanisms in a liquid

- Erosion in a liquid is primarily dependent on the surface potential, $U$, of liquid atoms and the energy density deposition near the surface, $F_D$.
- In the liquid state a large number of atoms are in motion in a given volume.
- Enhanced erosion mechanisms are typically characterized by an increase in magnitude of the emitted flux as well as a decrease in the average ejection velocity of eroded particles$^1$-$^3$.
- Thermal sputtering and adatom ejection can increase with temperature.

\[ Y = \frac{\Gamma_{sp}}{\Gamma_{inc}} \propto \frac{F_D}{NU} \]

The presence of oxygen on lithium surfaces

- Detailed UHV surface analysis of lithium-based surfaces (solid and liquid) during ALPS program in U.S.

ARIES $P_{\text{base}} \approx 10^{-10}$ Torr, $P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \approx 10^{-11}$
Temperature dependence of lithium sputtering

- Accounting for evaporation, ion-beam experiments identified temperature-dependent behavior of D and self-sputtering from liquid Li surfaces
- Experiments included: D saturation, oblique incidence, also He sputtering
- Many observed effects corroborated by linear plasma and tokamak device experiments

Secondary Li ion sputtering fraction

- The secondary sputtered ion fraction was measured first from solid Li surfaces and then systematic studies with temperature.
- Sn-Li experiments revealed the segregation of a pure Li layer on the surface, consistent with LEISS data by Bastasz et al.

J.P. Allain et al. NIMB 239 (2005) 347; paper included MD simulations and stratification model for liquid Li
Fuel retention (e.g. D retention) in liquid Lithium

- D implanted at the lithium surface will lead to preferential sputtering of D atoms over Li leading to Li sputter yield reductions of $\sim 40\%$\textsuperscript{1}
- TDS measurements (Sugai, Baldwin, Evtikhin\textsuperscript{2}, Mirnov\textsuperscript{3} and others) show indirect evidence that D is implanted at the surface in solution with Li atoms based on their emission at temperatures ($\sim 400$-$500$ C) lower than formation temp. for Li-D (T $\sim 700$ C)
- Both solid and liquid Li surfaces can retain 1:1 D:Li; solid surfaces however must be replenished

Coupling surface response codes with edge plasma codes and in-situ experiments

- In-situ PMI diagnostics (e.g. DiMES probe in DIII-D) already demonstrated the advantage of coupling:
  - In-situ PMI probe data
  - Computational modeling codes (edge, surface)
  - Off-line single-effect experimental data

![Diagram of PMI diagnostics and computational modeling](image)

Li-DiMES experiments in DIII-D by Whyte et al. pointed to the importance of stability of liquid surfaces under tokamak conditions.

- Li-DiMES experiments
- As indicated by Jaworski et al. “This usage of a porous substrate for stabilization of a free-surface liquid metal has been demonstrated in a diverted tokamak”
Persistence of Li layers at high temperatures indicated mechanisms linked with lithium/substrate interactions

- Significant reduction in Li erosion yield with temperature contributed to super-saturated region of implanted D ($\beta = D/Li$ concentration ratio)
- Jaworski demonstrated stabilization regime for liquid Li layers on nanostructured or porous substrates
- Recent work by Allain et al. showing that Li layers persist at temperatures above 900 C in addition to the formation of fuzz nanostructure for He irradiation


What have we learned about liquid-lithium surfaces exposed to energetic D, He and Li bombardment?

- No significant difference in sputtering from the solid to liquid state of lithium when temperature is near melting point.
- Non-linear increase in sputtering from liquid-Li when temperature is about 50% higher than melting point (accounting for evaporation).
- Two-thirds of lithium sputtered particles are in the charged state.
- Implanted hydrogen leads to a ~40% decrease in lithium sputtering.
- So far: liquid Li, Sn-Li, Ga and Sn show signs of erosion enhancement (particularly lithium) with rise in temperature.
- Li-DiMES data shows near-surface ionization of emitted Li particles within ~1cm.
- High retention of deuterium in liquid lithium (PISCES-B results by M. Baldwin et al.).
- Critical to have ‘stable’ flowing liquid lithium systems due to: macro, micro and nano-scale oxide coverage; heat removal; etc...

Model Integration of Various Beam-Target Interaction Physics in Computational Modeling Tools: HEIGHTS

Vapor shielding mechanisms

- During the early stages of an intense power deposition on a target material (i.e. divertor, limiter), a vapor cloud from target debris is formed above the bombarded surface.

- This shielding vapor layer could be either beneficial or detrimental depending on application.

- Macroscopic particles (MP) emitted into the vapor cloud will significantly alter the hydrodynamic evolution of the vapor plasma.
Liquid Metals II: Applications

• What are the different ways we may apply a liquid-metal as a plasma-facing material?
  – Inject a fast flow of liquid metal into the boundaries facing the fusion plasma
  – Deposit a low-melting point thin film, then melt it facing the plasma
  – Introduce the liquid metal slowly with some innovative techniques
Different mechanisms for establishing liquid walls*

- **Gravity-Momentum Driven (GMD)**
  \[ F = \frac{V^2}{R} \]
  - Liquid adherence to back wall by centrifugal force.
  - Applicable to liquid metals or molten salts.

- **Electromagnetically Restrained LM Wall**
  - Externally driven current \( (\vec{J}) \) through the liquid stream.
  - Liquid adheres to the wall by EM force \( \vec{F} = \vec{J} \times \vec{B} \)

- **Magnetic Propulsion Liquid Metal Wall**
  - Adheres to the wall by \( \vec{F} = \vec{J} \times \vec{B} \)
  - Utilizes 1/R variation in \( \vec{F} = \vec{J} \times \vec{B} \) to drive the liquid metal from inboard to the outboard.

\*M. Abdou et al. APEX Program 2000
Advanced Tokamak

3-D Hydrodynamics Calculation Indicates that a Stable Thick Flibe-Liquid Wall can be Established in an Advanced Tokamak Configuration

Inlet velocity = 15 m/s;
Initial outboard and inboard thickness = 50 cm

- Toroidal width = 61 cm Corresponding to 10° sector
- Area expansion included in the analysis

The thick liquid layer:

- is injected at the top of the reactor chamber with an angle tangential to the structural wall
- adheres to structural wall by means of centrifugal and inertial forces
- is collected and drained at the bottom of the reactor (under design)
Fast flowing liquid metal plasma-facing components

- Fast flowing liquid metal plasma facing components may prove to be an attractive alternative to handle both high steady state and transient plasma heat flux in a fusion reactor power plant, which would revolutionize control of the plasma-material interface.
  - Liquid metals continually replenish material and are self-healing, obviating lifetime concerns of solid materials, which erode with constant plasma bombardment.
  - In addition, certain liquids, e.g. lithium, can strongly improve plasma performance, leading to smaller, more economical reactor designs.
  - There are however, several important knowledge gaps in these systems, including:
    - managing the tritium fuel retention,
    - maintaining clean surfaces for reliable flow,
    - counteracting mass ejection forces,
    - determining operating temperature windows,
    - demonstrating helium ash exhaust
Application of liquid Li in divertor region of NSTX at Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

• LLD in NSTX at PPPL demonstrated two important results in the context of plasma-liquid interactions:
  • Mo influx disappears once lithium melting pt is reached during MHD events
  • No *macroscopic* amounts of lithium were injected from the LLD campaign
• Computational modeling tools linked surface response theory of liquid Li to experimental data in a tokamak
  • The importance of surface impurities such as oxygen and hydrogen (from water) became evident
Delivering liquid metal and providing a stable interface between the PFM and the edge plasma is part of emerging work in the field.

- Many concepts currently in development from macro-scale metal foam platforms for slow-flowing liquid metal to textured surfaces, e.g. see: I.E. Lyublinski et al., Nuclear Fusion, 57, (2017)

- Some groups are already examining adaptive PFC development testing hierarchical matrix systems that guide liquid metal in solid-based system at mesoscale; however much still at its infancy
New directions in porous metal self-healing structures for extreme environments such as magnetic fusion

- Adopting self-healing properties or *adaptive* properties for materials exposed to harsh environments
- Foam and porous materials are becoming an exciting direction for self-healing metal-based materials in extreme environments of heat, pressure and radiation
- Design of smart porous nuclear materials used in nuclear fusion reactors

Allain and Kapat 2016
Innovating adaptive PMI with porous refractory metals combined with liquid metals for a hybrid composite

Key Issue: Wettability of liquid metal to substrate, in this case liquid Li wetting of porous W substrate materials ("hybrid" liquid-solid interfaces)

Other concepts to deliver a lithium interface

- Lithium vapor box (Goldston et al)
- Capillary systems (Jaworski, Tabares, others)

Figure 1: A capillary-restrained, liquid metal PFC concept [2].

Figure 2: A radiating, liquid lithium divertor utilizing evaporating and condensing surfaces [3].

**Understanding the trade-off between Fast-flowing liquids and static or slow-flowing systems are the subject of current investigation**

---

E. Lang, A. Kapat, J.P. Allain, Deciphering surface behavior and deuterium retention in tin-lithium-coated fuzzy tungsten substrates, NME 12 (2017) 1352
Summary I: Advantages of LMs in fusion devices as PFMs

- Very high steady, and transient heat exhaust: 50 MW/m² exhausted from electron beam heating; also pulsed 60 MJ/m² in 1 µsec [22]
- Tolerable erosion from a PFC perspective: self-healing surfaces
- No dust generation
- Eroded chamber material from the main chamber transported to the divertor could be removed via liquid flow
- Neutron/dpa tolerance; underlying substrate would still have neutron-induced modifications, however
- Substrates below LM are protected from plasma-material interactions
- Liquid lithium specifically offers access to low recycling, high confinement regimes in certain surface temperature ranges
- Other liquid-metals such as Sn-Li alloys, Sn and Ga-based alloys could prove promising but their properties and behavior it’s still not well understood
- Molten salts such as Flibe as a PFM could also provide alternatives, again more research is needed
Summary II: Challenges to LMs as PFMs in fusion devices

- Reliably producing stable LM surfaces and flows
- Understanding and controlling the LM chemistry
- Understanding liquid metal surface stability and interaction with plasma under relevant fusion reactor conditions (e.g. high-duty cycle, high temperatures, safety constraints, etc...)
- Acceptable temperature windows for specific integrated scenarios: choice of substrate/coolant able to provide for LM surface temperature control
- Fuel retention, recycling in liquid metals
- Corrosion issues involving large quantities of LM interfacing with substrate/bulk components at high temperatures
- Wetting vs dry-out effects asymmetric over substrate materials
- Neutron damage of solid-based substrate materials
- Understanding application of LM to a divertor vs the first wall
- Managing large He exhaust and tritium inventories
Questions?
Extra Slides
Li-DiMES and computational modeling at the sheath

D.G. Whyte, J.N. Brooks and J.P. Allain

- Good agreement code/data

DiMES sample location (D = 0.025 m)
Evolution and lifetime of a lithium droplet (MP) moving in Li vapor

![Graph showing the spatial evolution and lifetime of a lithium droplet as it moves in a Li vapor cloud. The graph includes axes for distance above divertor surface (cm), droplet velocity and radius (m/s, µm), and droplet age (µs). The graph highlights the velocity, radius, and age changes as the droplet moves through the vapor cloud.]
He diffusion in liquid Li

- For temperatures around 300°C, He diffusion in Li is about $5 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^2$/sec.
- This could be lower due to He cavity formation in liquid Li.
- Atomistic simulation is a helpful tool to guide understanding on how liquid Li may pump He particles.
Multi-phase and hierarchical design of the plasma-material interface: multi-scale porous materials

- Properties are determined by:
  - Bulk mechanical strength, resilience and heat transfer
  - Surface response to plasma exposure

- Steam generation from a thin porous graphene sheet. Steam plume is generated by harvesting thermal energy from sunlight exposure